## **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry. Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_125859
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF STORED EXPRESSED HUMAN BREAST MILK OF HEALTHY LACTATING MOTHERS.
Type of the Article	

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>la ck of Novelt v'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a> Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

# **Review Form 3**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate to the subject matter and data addressed	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is suggested to include the word references y instead of literature	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and form of the manuscript is adequate and allows a reading and understanding of the article	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the methodology section, Two methods of data collection were adopted are mentioned, but they are not clearly described.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are scarce and some are old	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing of the manuscript is good and the English is at a good level.	
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Carmen Martínez Valenzuela
Department, University & Country	Univeersiad Autonoma De Occidente, México

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)