Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126331
Title of the Manuscript:	An overview on Women Empowerment: Challenges and prospects
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is not intended for the scientific community, as the author primarily references older documents. The majority of the references are from over 20 years ago, with only one recent citation from 2014. The author (s) has/have cited the work of 22 scholars, with a focus on 10 references from 1993 to 1999, 11 references from 2000 to 2004, and only one reference from a 2014 scholar.	
	As a result, this manuscript does not accurately reflect the current status of women's empowerment and whether improvements have been made. Over the past two decades, numerous scholars have conducted research on women's issues. However, this manuscript has primarily focused on works published before 2004, neglecting more recent studies. Consequently, the manuscript fails to provide an up-to-date assessment of the current status of women.	
	In order to provide a more comprehensive and accurate analysis of women's empowerment, it is essential to incorporate more recent research findings and perspectives. By doing so, the manuscript can offer valuable insights into the progress made in advancing women's rights and empowerment in recent years.	
	SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is more suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is good but the keywords is one. It is new for me. The title is plural i.e. key words	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is appropriate but it is challenging to make suggestions due to the manuscript does not clearly outline specific objectives. The subsections are organized based on the objectives that have been outlined.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is already mentioned above at the first section	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript does not provide adequate coverage as it primarily focuses on the work of older scholars from over 20 years ago. It fails to incorporate the more recent contributions of scholars, making it outdated and incomplete.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	While it may not be considered poor, it is advisable to consult language professionals for greater accuracy.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nahusenay Abate Dessie
Department, University & Country	Samara University, Ethiopia

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)