Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_126285**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Economic Analysis of Maize Production across Major Producing States of India**
Type of the Article	**Research Article**

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/
Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is worthy. The result of this manuscript will assist the researcher, agriculture officer, farmers, and concerned bodies in solving constraints related to maize production across major producing states of India. Economic analysis can identify which states are performing better in yield and efficiency. This can help in understanding what farming practices, inputs, or policies are contributing to higher productivity. B.C ratio can be known which will assist in determining the allocation of government funds, subsidies, and technological support.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some grammatical errors. Write the objectives of the study in the last paragraph. Write appropriate background information.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Some grammatical errors. It is scientifically robust and technically sound because the study ensures that the findings are both relevant and regionally applicable. The calculation of cost, returns, profitability, and break-even production of maize from 5 major maize-producing states using empirical data improves the study's practical relevance, making it beneficial to both researchers and policymakers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Sufficient. You can look for references where necessary.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Some grammatical erros. Appropriate language	
Optional/General comments	Include the Objective of the study in the final paragraph. You can include acknowledgment and competing interests at the end just above the references. Don't write in shortcuts for example govt's for government's.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sanjita Khati Chhetri**
Department, University & Country	**Agriculture and Forestry University, Nepal**