

# Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126066
Title of the Manuscript:	Value chain analysis of cattle feed market in Banaskantha district
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dairy largest industry contributes national economy and employs farmers as well as subsidiary income generation in rural areas. animal food is an important aspect of running the dairy unit necessitating greater emphasis on compound feeds. It needs to increase food stock or maintain a nutritious food value chain. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Reduce the sample selection procedure in the abstract it's to lengthy	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important for the study multistage sampling techniques were used and find a conclusion Multiple linear regression analysis was used and the projected future population of livestock census was calculated by using formulae compound annual growth rate (CAGR) used	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes sufficient mention of references in running text it's not observed keep only mentioned references	
Minor REVISION comments	Need grammar check	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Good efforts	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Shriram Gomase
Department, University & Country	Dr. PDKV, India