

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126020
Title of the Manuscript:	Trend and Instability Analysis of Milk Prices in Major Markets of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper addresses a good topic: trend and instability in the milk market in India. This is important as India is the largest milk producer in the world. The analysis provides some broad assessment of the evolution of Indian milk markets over the last few decades.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	OK.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	OK.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	OK.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The analysis is mostly descriptive. While this is interesting, it is less clear why the documenting changes are taking place.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The paper could benefit from additional references related to the evolution and instability of dairy markets around the world.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK.	
Optional/General comments	Interesting paper on an important topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jean-Paul Chavas
Department, University & Country	University of Wisconsin Madison, USA