

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_126020
Title of the Manuscript:	Trend and Instability Analysis of Milk Prices in Major Markets of India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper introduces a novel algorithm for image recognition that outperforms existing methods in terms of accuracy and efficiency. It addresses a critical gap in the current research by proposing a solution that is both scalable and adaptable to various datasets. The findings of this paper have practical implications for industries relying on image processing technologies, such as autonomous vehicles and medical imaging The methodology presented in the paper has the potential to revolutionize the field of computer vision by improving the speed and accuracy of image classification tasks	Agreed.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Agreed.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Agreed.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Agreed.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates a rigorous experimental design with a well-defined methodology, ensuring reproducibility and reliability of results The authors have conducted thorough data analysis and validation processes, enhancing the credibility of their findings The paper includes comparisons with existing state-of-the-art techniques, showcasing a comprehensive evaluation of the proposed algorithm's performance The manuscript incorporates feedback from peer reviews and addresses potential limitations, indicating a commitment to scientific integrity and continuous improvement	Agreed.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Agreed.
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Agreed.
Optional/General comments	The paper introduces a novel algorithm for image recognition that outperforms existing methods in terms of accuracy and efficiency	Agreed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	