Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124271
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Farmer's Income Level on Adoption of Agricultural Water Management Practices among Smallholder Farmers in Rongai Sub- County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The sample size is too small The regression analysis do not contains the other factors and failed to capture what is claimed The claimed climate factors are not in analysis	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Need to be modified as content do not speak anything	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to be modified	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	More or less okay	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher try to establish the facts that are already in place. However the researcher did not consider those variables based on which he concluded that income only influence adoption of technology	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is okay	
Optional/General comments	More tables to be added to substantiate the claim.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sk Mahidur Rahaman
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)