

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_126324
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PROFILE CHARACTERISTICS AND KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF FARMERS ABOUT PRADHAN MANTRI FASAL BIMA YOJANA SCHEME IN CUDDALORE DISTRICT OF TAMILNADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article explores the “Relationship between profile characteristics and knowledge level of farmers about Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana scheme in Cuddalore district of Tamilnadu	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Replace profile characteristics with only “Profile” or “Selected characteristics”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Methodology:  1) Write the statistical method used for categorization  2) Describe in brief about Proportionate random sampling  Table-1. : Mention mean and SD value	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yea	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language in the article reads more like a collection of reviews. The author should adopt a more scholarly tone, presenting the information with deeper analysis and critical insight rather than merely summarizing existing studies.	
Optional/General comments	The author should incorporate additional data-based reviews.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. K. Bhabhor
Department, University & Country	Anand Agricultural University, India