

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123709
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints faced by onion farmers in western undulating zone of Odisha for adopting the improved practices and suggestions to overcome
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable but it can be concise in short like- Constraints faced for adopting the improved practices by onion farmers in western undulating zone of Odisha.	Revision made
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add proper sampling method.	Done
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Check the Garrett's ranking formula and follow the proper sampling method and stages. Check the sampling size that is varies from methodology and in tabulated form. Follow the scientific style of writing. There is difference between thesis writing and research article.	Noted and revised
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Use the proper and appropriate style of referencing.	ok
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is suitable.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	