

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_125900
Title of the Manuscript:	The practices of parents regarding their child immunization in the regions of Lahore, Pakistan, that is modified to; Factors influencing parental practices regarding childhood immunization in Lahore, Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very important topic and hot issue of Pakistan because here environmental sample is positive of polio virus. So, it is perfect time to conduct research on this topic and unfold the reasons behind the problem. I really like this topic.	Thank you very much for the valuable comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Topic is OK, My suggestion in the next column Factors influencing parents' decisions regarding childhood immunization in Lahore, Pakistan,	Thank you for the great suggestion. We have modified the title as suggested: "Factors influencing parental practices regarding childhood immunization in Lahore, Pakistan,"
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	We can improve the Abstract Please Add in the Abstract The vaccine is intended to protect about 2 million and 3 million survivors per year. Pakistan is one of two states where the prevalence of poliovirus persists.	Acknowledged with thanks. The suggested changes have been done in abstract.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Thanks a lot.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because sample size is good in numbers i.e 353. Good way to analyse and apply tests on SPSS. Interpretation style is also satisfactory. Hidden issues are highlighted in this study. We can also encourage the LHWs role in the recommendation part for the parent's adopt the good practices regarding their child's vaccination.	Thanks for the comments. The suggested changes are done and highlighted on page-4 of the main manuscript file.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :-	References are Good but seems insufficient Again and again reference no.1. 2, 3 Or 3, 2, 1 We can add more	Thanks for the suggestion. The references have been updated and highlighted in the manuscript file.

Review Form 3

<div>Minor REVISION comments</div> <div>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</div>	<div>The present study aimed to access the factors influencing parents' decisions regarding childhood immunization in Pakistan, with a focus on understanding parental practices and perceptions surrounding vaccinations.</div> <div>Sample size, Sample size was calculated according to the convenient sampling technique. Approximately 353 parents</div> <div>The present study aimed to access the factors influencing parents' decisions regarding childhood immunization in Pakistan, with a focus on understanding parental practices and perceptions about vaccinations.</div> <div>Sample size, Sample size was calculated according to the convenient sampling technique. Total 353 parents Note: no need to write again and again Approximately A</div>	<div>Noted with thanks. These changes have been corrected in manuscript and highlighted.</div>
<div>Optional/General comments</div>	<div>There is need to improve Methodological part Like how Sample is collect, in which town? Because Lahore has ten towns. Any type of health facility? Public hospital Private hospital, from DHQ, THQ, RHC or BHU?</div> <div>We can randomly selected 2 or 3 towns to collection the data.</div>	<div>Acknowledged. The methodology section has been edited as suggested.</div>

PART 2:

	<div>Reviewer's comment</div>	<div>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</div>
<div>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</div>	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div>	<div>The authors claim that there is no ethical issue in the manuscript.</div>