

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125821
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating Pesticide Residue Accumulation in Arable Soils: Insights for Sustainable Agriculture
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community in the sense that it touches an area that concerns all. It brings out the importance of pesticide residues in agricultural products and equally highlights the environmental and human risk factors associated to these. Of concern are the health effects of these pesticides residues to human health.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive BUT for the fact some key words do not appear in the abstract. E.g.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They seem appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because it cuts across many disciplines. Further research can be carried out by the following areas: Environment, health, agriculture, pesticide industry, biochemistry, chemistry and overall there is laboratory work among others.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	<p>The list of references are recent though incomplete. Some citations in the write-up are numbered and do not appear in the references e.g. Wang et al., NO YEAR, Bhandari et al., NO YEAR</p> <p>There are also missing citations: (2), (8), (9), (21)</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. The English language/quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	I noticed some inconsistency in the way the Author writes compound words e.g. build-up/buildup	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Che Jane Nchangnwi
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon