# **Review Form 3**

| Journal Name:            | Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International     |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAERI_121632   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPACT OF IMPROPER WASTE DISPOSAL ON SURFACE AND GROUND WATER |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article  |

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | Manuscript is lack of information globally as well as nationally. It should have a basic idea on what basis the review method is adopted for this review article.  |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  | Very generic and it needs to be more in depth on what aspects the impact is reviewed pertaining to surface and groundwater.  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Abstract should contain the following aspect for about 200 words: Introduction Review background Methodology adopted for review papers collected. Research gap and scope for future study.   |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Very common and generic. It seems to be like a project report and not like a manuscript. Basic information definitions and its importance everyone knows, what is the need of that in the manuscript. Be more specific on the impact across the world. |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It requires more technical and scientific information based on the points gathered from the review papers. I couldn't find any fruitful and effective information in the manuscript.   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Very less in numbers and moreover few of them are very old.  |  |
| Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | Language is not up to the readable level. It requires a thorough revision with respect to the English.   |  |
| Optional/General comments   | It needs a major revision.   |  |
|   |  |  |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

## **Review Form 3**

## PART 2:

|  |   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

## Reviewer Details:

| Name:                            | K. Prasanna                                    |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | SRM Institute of Science and Technology, India |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)