Impact of soil amendments and improved alkaline water on the physico-chemical characteristics of the soil, the distribution of salt in the soil, the growth, and the yield of hybrid cotton grown in sodic soil with drip irrigation

ABSTRACT

The effects of ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on the soil properties, growth, and yield of hybrid cotton in sodic soil under drip irrigation were investigated in this field experiment at Anbil Dharma lingam Agricultural College and Research Institute, Trichy, using cotton (RCH-20) as a test crop. The main-plot treatments included drip irrigation with gypsum treatment water and drip irrigation with spent wash treatment water; the sub-plot treatments included soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR (5.2 t ha⁻¹) and a one-time soil application of distillery spent wash at 5 lakh liters ha⁻¹. The treatment without amendments under main plot and sub-plots was used as a control. The experiment was designed in a strip-plotdesign with four replications. The application of additives through irrigation water or soil dramatically lowered the post-harvest soil's pH. Plots applied with wasted wash had the greatest pH reduction (M₁S₁) 7.81, followed by those applied with gypsum (M₂S₂) 7.90 and control (M₃S₃) 8.15. Because there was more soluble salt present in the spent wash treated plots, there was a little rise in EC. Drip irrigation with distillery spent wash (DSW) treated water and drip irrigation with gypsum treated water, respectively, resulted in ESP decreases of (M₂S₂) 0.97 and (M₁S₁) 0.61 over control. Similarly, ESP decreased by 2.63 and 1.31 when gypsum and DSW were applied to the soil, respectively, compared to the control. The organic carbon values ranged from (M₃S₃) 0.47 to (M₂S₂) 0.92 percent. In general, an increase in organic carbon content was observed in all the treatments that received amendments (Gypsum& DSW) compared to control. Salt distribution pattern conducted in treatment of distillery spent wash @ 5.0 lakh liters ha⁻¹ significantly increased vertical depth of soil pH ranges (7.56,7.68,7.80,8.30) and decreased EC ranges (1.05,1.02,1.00,0.95) followed by horizontal line soil pH ranges (7.54,7.51,7.49,7.50) and EC ranges (1.04,1.02,1.00,1.02) at 120 DAS after application. In the experimental field recorded vertical depth of soil increased pH and decreased electrical conductivity compared to horizontal distances of soil pH and EC. The physico- chemical properties reduced in treatment one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha⁻¹ recorded the highestphysico -chemical properties followed by the treatment receiving irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water and lowest was recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system in non-amended soil.

Keywords: Sodicity; gypsum; distillery spent wash; ameliorated alkali water; drip irrigation and salt distribution

INTRODUCTION

"The lack of fresh productive land and worries about food security have brought productivity improvement of degraded lands back to the forefront of research and development. Approximately 9.38 million hectares of land in India are impacted by salt-affected soils; of them, 5.50 million ha are saline soils, 3.77 million ha are alkali soils, and 3.5 lakh ha are sodic soils in Tamil Nadu. The major reason for the low productivity of crops grown in sodic soil is sodicity. A soil with pH more than 8.5. ESP of 15.0 or more and preponderance of CO₃ and HCO₃ of sodium is considered as alkali, where the EC is less than 4.0 dsm⁻¹[1]. Recently, there has been increasing interest in flue gas desulfurization gypsum (FGDG) as an alternative to mined gypsum for amending sodic soils. FGDG is a by-product of the wet and semi-dry desulfurization processes used in coal-fired power plants, where limestone is employed to treat flue gas. This method produces a highly pure form of gypsum (over 99% purity). Both FGDG and mined gypsum serve as calcium sources that can replace sodium on soil and clay exchange sites. They also help enhance soil physical conditions by improving chemical properties such as electrical conductivity (EC), pH, and sodium adsorption ratio (SAR). Additionally, FGDG is typically more cost-effective than mined gypsum. However, the effectiveness of FGDG on various salt-affected soils must be assessed before it can be recommended to growers.

"Before plant response to salt stress is addressed, it is important to address the chemical and physical edaphology of the saline environment. Soils and irrigation water sources contain mineral salts, but the concentration and composition of the dissolved salts vary from one location to another"[3]. "In solution, these salts dissolve and form positively charged cations and negatively charged anions. The most common cations are calcium (Ca²⁺), magnesium (Mg²⁺), and sodium (Na⁺), whereas the most abundant anions are chloride (Cl'), sulphate (SO₄-²), and sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃). Sodic water is synonymous with 'soft' water. Sodicity can contribute to the deterioration of physical properties of the soil, which can indirectly affect plants resulting in surface crusting, reduced water infiltration, and reduced aeration causing anoxic or hypoxic conditions for roots. With shrinking agricultural land, reclamation and amelioration of sodic soils are important to maintain or increase the productivity of salt-affected soils"[4].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

"The experiment was carried out in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Anbil Dharma Lingam Agricultural College and Research Institute, in Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu (10 45 5.465N, 78.36 1.227 E). The soil had a clay texture and was Typic Ustropept. Four replication and three mail plots, along with three subplots, were used to set up the field experiment. Treatment structure Main plots (Irrigation water treatment), M₁: Drip irrigation with gypsum treatment water, M₂: Drip irrigation with spent wash treatment water, M₃: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali, Subplots: Soil treatment, S₁: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR, S₂: One time application of DSW @5 lakh litter ha⁻¹, S₃: No treatments (control). A composite surface soil sample (0 -15 cm) representing the experimental site was collected before the layout of the field trial to assess the initial

fertility status of experimental site. The collected soil samples were air dried, powdered with a wooden mallet, sieved through 2 mm sieve and stored in polyethylene bags for various physico-chemical analyses by following standard analytical procedures in Physico- Chemical parameters. Soil reaction (pH), and Soil water suspension 1:2 ratio using pH meter. Electrically conductivityand Soil water suspension 1:2 ratio using EC meter. Exchangeable sodium, and Flame photo meter. Organic carbonand Wet chromic acid digestion and Salt distribution pattern"[5,7].

Results

1.Soil reaction

The impact of improved alkali water and the application of gypsum and distillery spent wash on soil reaction is summarized in Table 1. Soil samples collected after crop harvest showed a slight decrease in pH, dropping from 8.82 to 7.45. The results indicated that irrigation with gypsum-treated alkali water, combined with a one-time application of distillery spent wash at a rate of 5 lakh liters per hectare, resulted in the lowest pH of 7.45. In contrast, the untreated alkali water used in a drip irrigation system on non-amended soil recorded the highest pH of 8.82.

2. Electrical conductivity (EC)

The effect of ameliorated alkali water and soil application of gypsum and distillery spent wash on soil reaction given in Table 1. The analysis of soil sample taken after the harvest of crops indicates the slight decrease in soil pH from 1.09 to 0.23 was recorded. The results revealed that irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water with reclamation of soil through one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha⁻¹recorded the lowest 0.23 and the height 1.09 was recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system in non -amended soil. (no amendment soil).

3.Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP)

The exchangeable sodium percentage ranged from 11.82 to 23.50. The highest ESP level (23.50) was recorded treatment receiving untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system at non-amended soil and lowest level in alkali water through gypsum bed treatment receiving ESP level 11.8 percent and followed by irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water with reclamation of soil through one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha⁻¹ recorded.

4.Organic carbon(OC)

The organic carbon content is an important soil property, which determines the soil fertility cum productivity of the soil. The organic carbon values ranged from 0.50 to 0.92 per cent. In general, an increase in organic carbon content was observed in all the treatments that received amendments (Gypsum& DSW) compared to control.

5. Salt distribution pattern in sodic soil

Field experiment has conducted to study the effect of reclamation of sodic soil upon use of distillery spent wash and gypsum, Salt distribution pattern conducted in treatment of distillery spent wash @ 5.0 lakh liters ha⁻¹ significantly increased vertical depth of soil pH ranges

(7.56,7.68,7.80,8.30) and decreased EC ranges (1.05,1.02,1.00,0.95) followed by horizontal line soil pH ranges (7.54,7.51,7.49,7.50) and EC ranges (1.04,1.02,1.00,1.02) at 120 DAS after application. The physico- chemical properties reduced in treatment one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha⁻¹recorded the highest followed by the treatment receiving irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water and lowest was recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system in non-amended soil.

DISCUSSION

The findings are in line with [8] who reported that the soil pH reduced from 10.4 to 8.04 due to gypsum application. The reduction in soil pH was attributed to displacement of exchangeable Na by the calcium ion of gypsum and subsequent formation of sodium sulphate which get leached out of soil through drainage in the pots. Similar reduction in pH of sodic soil due to the application of gypsum was reported by [9] [10] and [11]. "The observed decline in soil pH suggested reduction in soil sodicity as a result of favourable effects of press mud (PM), FYM and gypsum. This may be due to the fact that the organic acids produced during the decomposition of organic amendments could have lowered the soil pH and also increased the solubility of gypsum resulting in removal of some of the Na⁺ from the soil. High amount of soluble salts present in spent wash on direct application to soil at higher levels creates the problem of salinity"[12]. "Decrease in soil pH was attributed to acidic nature of raw spent wash (pH 4.1) which might have solubilized the native calcium carbonate and released free calcium ions and other calcium bearing minerals to the soil. In addition, the spent wash has calcium to an extent of 2600 mg L⁻¹. The decrease in soil pH was more pronounced in calcareous sodic soil compared to sodic soil. This was due to acidic nature of raw spent wash which might have solubilized the native free lime thus facilitating the release of Ca+Mg in free ionic forms and these ions have replaced the Na⁺ on the exchange complex. Also, the substantial quantity of sulfur present in raw spent wash, might have solubilized the CaCO₃ thus decreasing the pH of soil. Results obtained in this study are in conformity with the findings of Mohammed Haroon and Subash"[13].

[14]reported that application of gypsum followed by leaching markedly reduced the electrical conductivity to normal levels in alkali soil. Similar results were also reported by[15] and [16]. High amount of soluble salts present in spent wash on direct application to soil at higher levels creates the problem of salinity[17].

Hence DSW @ (5.0 lakh liters ha⁻¹) treatment considered optimum for practical purpose for reducing the ESP to a desired level under field conditions. The results are in accordance with the findings of [18], [19], [20] and [21]. With the increase in days

afterapplication of raw spent wash, there was gradual reduction in ESP. The decrease in soil ESP with addition of amendments (organic / inorganic) either alone or in combination may be attributed to increased Ca in soil solution as a result of addition of gypsum and organic sources which promoted Na displacement and its subsequent removal during irrigation to lower soil layers [22].

Soil organic carbon is generally considered as the index of soil fertility and sustainability of any agricultural system. It decides the fate of soil structure, water holding capacity, infiltration rate, aeration and porosity and biological properties of soil. In the case of changes in organic carbon content, higher values were recorded in the treatments involving DSW than gypsum treatment combinations indicating the superior effect of an organic amendment. The usefulness of pressmud as a valuable organic manure on account of its high organic carbon content has been reported by several workers[23], [24] and [25]. The increase in organic carbon content due to addition of organic manures either alone or in combination with gypsum corroborates the finding reported earlier by [26] and [27]. A negative relationship existed between the organic carbon and stage of crop which may be attributed to the decomposition of the organic amendments with time.

CONCLUSION

The one-time application of DSW at 5 lakh liters per hectare led to the most significant reduction in physico-chemical properties, followed by treatment with gypsum bed-treated alkali water. The lowest reductions were observed in untreated alkali water irrigated through a drip system in non-amended soil. In the experimental field, vertical soil depth showed an increase in pH and a decrease in electrical conductivity (EC), contrasting with the horizontal distances where soil pH and EC were measured.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

- 1. G.P. Bhargava *et al.* Characteristics and genesis of some sodic soils in the Indo-Gangetic alluvial plains of Haryana and Uttar Pradesh.
- 2. Clark, G. J., N. Dodgshun, P. G. Sale and C. Tang. 2007. Changes in chemical and biological properties of a sodic clay subsoil with addition of organic amendments. Soil Biol. & Biochem., 39: 2806-2817.

- Tanji, K.K., Wallender, W.W., 2012. Nature and extent of agricultural salinity and sodicity. In: Wallender, W.W., Tanji, K.K. (Eds.), Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management. ASCE Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practices No. 71. ASCE, Reston, VA, USA, pp. 10–25.
- 4. Nelson, P.N., and G.J. Ham. 2000. Exploring the response of sugar cane to sodic and saline conditions through natural variation in the field. Field Crop Research 66:245-255.
- Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi.
- Walkley, A. and Black, C.A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science, 37:29-38.
- 7. Hanson, B., S.R. Grattan and A. Fulton. 1999. "Agricultural Salinity and Drainage." University of California Irrigation Program. University of California, Davis.
- 8. Sharma, D. P., K. K. Mehta and J. S. P. Yadav. 1981. Effect of reclamation practices on soil properties and crop growth on farmers' fields A case study. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 29(3): 356-360.
- 9. Srinivasa, N., 1995, Characterization and Reclamation of sodic soils in Visveswaraya Canal Tract of Cauvery command area, PhD Thesis submitted to UAS, Bangalore.
- Ramappa jakanur, 1998, Evaluation of flyash as an amendment for reclamation of Saliha, B. B., S. Krishnakumar, A. Saravanan and S.K. Natarajan. 2005. Microbial and enzyme dynamics in distillery spent wash treated soil. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 1: 166-169.
- 11. Guruprasd, M. S, 2005, Characterization and Reclamation of sodic soils in Kabini Tract of Cauvery command area, M. Sc Thesis submitted to UAS, Bangalore.
- 12. Patil, K.P., Pandya, R.R., Maliwal, G.L., Patel, K.C., Kamani, V.P. Angeorge, V., 2004, Heavy metal content of different effluents and their relative availability in soils irrigated with effluent waters around major industrial sites of Gujarat. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 52: 89-94.
- 13. Chandra, S., Joshi, H. C. and Pathak, H., 2005, Impact of distillery effluent and salts on hydraulic conductivity of a Sandy loam soil. Caspian J.Env.Sci., 1:9-14.
- 14. Chauhan, C. P. S. and Tripathi, B. R., 1983, Reclamation studies on high saline sodic soil of semi-arid tract in UP. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 31:587-595.
- 15. Srinivasa, N., 1995, Characterization and Reclamation of sodic soils in Vishveshwaraih Canal Tract of Cauvery command area, PhD Thesis submitted to UAS, Bangalore.
- 16. Guruprasd, M. S, 2005, Characterization and Reclamation of sodic soils in Kabini Tract of Cauvery command area, M.Sc Thesis submitted to UAS, Bangalore.
- 17. Mohamed haroon, A.R., and Subash chandrabose, M., 2004, Use of distillery spent wash for alkali soil reclamation, treated distillery effluent for fertigation of crops. Indian farming, March: 48-51.
- 18. Rajkkannu, K., et al. (1996). In: Proc. Nat. Symp. Use of Distillery and Sugar Industry Wastes in Agriculture. 28th & 29th October, 1996. AC & RI, Trichy.
- 19. Valliappan, k., 1998, Recycling of distillery spent wash and eco-friendly effective reclamation technology for soils. Ph.D. Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

- Sarwar, M. A., M. Ibrahim, M. Tahir, K. Ahmad, Z. I. Khan and E. E. Valeem. 2010. Appraisal of pressmud and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties, yield and sugarcane quality. Pak. J. Bot., 42: 1361-67.
- 21. Nehra, A. S. and I. S. Hooda. 2002. Influence of integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on lentil & mungbean yields and soil properties. Res. on Crops. 3(1): 11-16.
- 22. Jamil, M., M. Qasim and M. S. Zia. 2008. Utilization of pressmud as organic amendment to improve physico-chemical characteristics of calcareous soil under two legume crops. J. Chem. Soc. Pakistan., 3(1): 145-150.
- 23. Ramaswamy, P. P. 1999. Recycling of agricultural and agro-industry waste for sustainable agricultural production. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 47(4): 661–665.17.
- 24. Patil, K.P., Pandya, R.R., Maliwal, G.L., Patel, K.C., Kamani, V.P. Angeorge, V., 2004, Heavy metal content of different effluents and their relative availability in soils irrigated with effluent waters around major industrial sites of Gujarat. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 52: 89-94.
- 25. Rachhpalsingh, Singh, N. T. and Yogesh arora, 1980, The use sportswash for the reclamation of sodic soils. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 28(1): 38-41.
- 26. Dang, Y. P. and K. S. Verma. 1996. Direct and residual effect of pressmud cakes in rice wheat cropping system. J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci., 44(3):448-450.
- 27. Singh, N. J., H. S. Athokpam, K. N. Devi, N. Chong ham, N. B. Singh, P. T. Sharma and S. Dayananda. 2015. Effect of farm yard manure and press mud on fertility status of alkaline soil under maize-wheat cropping sequence. Afri. J. of Agri. Res., 10(24): 2421-2431.sodic soils. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.

Table 1. Effect of drip irrigation using ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on pH, EC, ESP and Organic carbon of post-harvest soil (Mean of four application)

	рН				EC (dsm ⁻¹)				ESP (%)				Organic carbon (%)			
Treatment	S 1	S2	S3	Mean	S1	S2	S3	Mean	S1	S2	S3	Mean	S 1	S2	S3	Mean
M1	7.76	7.5	8.75	8	0.6	1.04	0.48	0.7	14.8	12.7	23.2	16.9	0.62	0.9	0.42	0.64
M2	7.71	7.45	8.65	7.93	0.75	1.09	0.54	0.79	14.2	11.8	22.6	16.3	0.77	0.92	0.44	0.71
М3	7.85	7.62	8.8	8.08	0.5	0.98	0.45	0.64	15.5	13.3	23.5	17.4	0.54	0.86	0.39	0.59
Mean	7.77	7.51	8.73	8	0.61	1.03	0.23	0.71	14.8	12.6	23.2	16.8	0.64	0.78	0.41	0.64
	М	S	M at S	S at M	М	S	M at S	S at M	М	S	M at S	S at M	М	S	M at S	Sat M
CD(P=0.05)	0.34	0.23*	0.73	0.5	0.05*	0.06*	0.12	0.48	0.76	0.64*	1.66	1.42	0.04	0.05*	0.09	0.11

Table 2. Effect of drip irrigation using ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on salt distribution pattern of post-harvest soil (Mean of four application)

S.NO	Vertical depth	pН	EC (dsm ⁻¹)	Horizontal line	pН	EC (dsm ⁻¹)
1	0- 5 cm	7.89	1.22	0- 5 cm	7.83	1.15
2	5-10 cm	7.92	1.15	5- 10 cm	7.86	1.17
3	10 -15 cm	7.95	1.02	10- 15 cm	7.96	1.1
4	15- 20 cm	8.6	0.98	15-20 cm	8.12	1.08