Impact of soil amendments and improved alkaline water on the physico-chemical characteristics of the soil, the distribution of salt in the soil, the growth, and the yield of hybrid cotton grown in sodic soil with drip irrigation

ABSTRACT

The effects of ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on the soil properties, growth, and yield of hybrid cotton in sodic soil under drip irrigation were investigated in this field experiment at Anbil Dharmalingam Agricultural College and Research Institute, Trichy, using cotton (RCH-20) as a test crop. The main-plot treatments included drip irrigation with gypsum treatment water and drip irrigation with spent wash treatment water; the sub-plot treatments included soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR (5.2 t ha^{-1}) and a one-time soil application of distillery spent wash at 5 lakh litter ha^{-1}. The treatment without amendments under main plot and sub-plots was used as a control. The experiment was designed in a strip-plot fashion with four replications. The application of additives through irrigation water or soil dramatically lowered the post-harvest soil's pH. Plots applied with wasted wash had the greatest pH reduction (M_1S_1) 7.81, followed by those applied with gypsum (M_2S_2) 7.90 and control (M_3S_3) 8.15. Because there was more soluble salt present in the spent wash treated plots, there was a little rise in EC. Drip irrigation with DSW treated water and drip irrigation with gypsum treated water, respectively, resulted in ESP decreases of (M_2S_2) 0.97 and (M_1S_1)0.61 over control. Similarly, ESP decreased by 2.63 and 1.31 when gypsum and DSW were applied to the soil, respectively, compared to the control. The organic carbon values ranged from (M_3S_3) 0.47 to (M_2S_2) 0.92 percent. In general, an increase in organic carbon content was observed in all the treatments that received amendments (Gypsum&DSW) compared to control. Salt distribution pattern conducted in treatment of distillery spent wash @ 5.0 lakh liters ha^{-1} significantly increased vertical depth of soil pH ranges (7.56,7.68,7.80,8.30) and decreased EC ranges (1.05,1.02,1.00,0.95) followed by horizontal line soil pH ranges (7.54,7.51,7.49,7.50)andEC ranges (1.04,1.02,1.00,1.02) at 120 DAS after application. In the experimental field recorded vertical depth of soil increased pH and decreased electrical conductivity compared to horizontal distances of soil pH and EC. The physico- chemical properties reduced in treatment one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha^{-1}recorded the highest followed by the treatment receiving irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water and lowest was recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system in non- amended soil.

***Keywords**: Sodicity; gypsum; distillery spent wash; ameliorated alkali water; drip irrigation and salt distribution*

INTRODUCTION

The lack of fresh productive land and worries about food security have brought productivity improvement of degraded lands back to the forefront of research and development. Approximately 9.38 million hectares of land in India are impacted by salt-affected soils; of them, 5.50 million ha are saline soils, 3.77 million ha are alkali soils, and 3.5 lakh ha are sodic soils in Tamil Nadu. The major reason for the low productivity of crops grown in sodic soil is sodicity. A soil with pH more than 8.5. ESP of 15.0 or more and preponderance of CO_3 and HCO_3 of sodium is considered as alkali, where the EC is less than 4.0 dsm^{-1}[1].Recently, there has been increasing interest in flue gas desulfurization gypsum (FGDG) as an alternative to mined gypsum for amending sodic soils. FGDG is a by-product of the wet and semi-dry desulfurization processes used in coal-fired power plants, where limestone is employed to treat flue gas. This method produces a highly pure form of gypsum (over 99% purity). Both FGDG and mined gypsum serve as calcium sources that can replace sodium on soil and clay exchange sites. They also help enhance soil physical conditions by improving chemical properties such as electrical conductivity (EC), pH, and sodium adsorption ratio (SAR). Additionally, FGDG is typically more cost-effective than mined gypsum. However, the effectiveness of FGDG on various salt-affected soils must be assessed before it can be recommended to growers.

Before plant response to salt stress is addressed, it is important to address the chemical and physical edaphology of the saline environment. Soils and irrigation water sources contain mineral salts, but the concentration and composition of the dissolved salts vary from one location to another[3]. In solution, these salts dissolve and form positively charged cations and negatively charged anions. The most common cations are calcium (Ca^{2+}), magnesium (Mg^{2+}), and sodium (Na^{+}), whereas the most abundant anions are chloride (Cl^{-}), sulphate (SO_4^{-2}), and bicarbonate (HCO_3). Sodic water is synonymous with ‘soft’ water. Sodicity can contribute to the deterioration of physical properties of the soil, which can indirectly affect plants resulting in surface crusting, reduced water infiltration, and reduced aeration causing anoxic or hypoxic conditions for roots.With shrinking agricultural land, reclamation and amelioration of sodic soils are important to maintain or increase the productivity of salt-affected soils [4].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out in the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Anbil Dharma Lingam Agricultural College and Research Institute, in Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu (10 45 5.465N, 78.36 1.227 E). The soil had a clay texture and was Typic Ustropept. Four replication and three mail plots, along with three subplots, were used to set up the field experiment**.** Treatment structure Main plots (Irrigation water treatment), M_1: Drip irrigation with gypsum treatment water, M_2: Drip irrigation with spent wash treatment water,M_3: Drip irrigation with untreated alkali,Subplots: Soil treatment, S_1: Soil application of gypsum @ 50% GR, S_2: One time application of DSW @5 lakh litter ha^{-1},S_3: No treatments (control).A composite surface soil sample (0 -15 cm) representing the experimental site was collected before the layout of the field trial to assess the initial fertility status of experimental site. The collected soil samples were air dried, powdered with a wooden mallet, sieved

through 2 mm sieve and stored in polyethylene bags for various physico-chemical analyses by following standard analytical procedures in Physico- Chemical parameters. Soil reaction (pH), [5]and Soil water suspension 1:2 ratio using pH meter. Electrically conductivity,[5]and Soil water suspension 1:2 ratio using EC meter. Exchangeable sodium,[5]and Flame photo meter. Organic carbon,[6]and Wet chromic acid digestion. Salt distribution pattern [7].

Results

1.Soil reaction

The impact of improved alkali water and the application of gypsum and distillery spent wash on soil reaction is summarized in Table 1. Soil samples collected after crop harvest showed a slight decrease in pH, dropping from 8.82 to 7.45. The results indicated that irrigation with gypsum-treated alkali water, combined with a one-time application of distillery spent wash at a rate of 5 lakh liters per hectare, resulted in the lowest pH of 7.45. In contrast, the untreated alkali water used in a drip irrigation system on non-amended soil recorded the highest pH of 8.82.

2.Electrical conductivity (EC)

The effect of ameliorated alkali water and soil application of gypsum and distillery spent wash on soil reaction given in Table 1. The analysis of soil sample taken after the harvest of crops indicates 6 1 the slight decrease in soil pH from 1.09 to 0.23 was recorded. The results revealed that irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water with reclamation of soil through one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha-1recorded the lowest 0.23 and the height 1.09 was recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system in non -amended soil. (no amendment soil).

3.Exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP)

The exchangeable sodium percentage ranged from 11.82 to 23.5. The highest ESP level in the treatment receiving recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system at un amended soil the ESP level 23.5 per cent and lowest level in alkali water through gypsum bed treatment receiving ESP level 11.8 percent and followed by irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water with reclamation of soil through one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha^{-1} recorded.

4.Organic carbon(OC)

The organic carbon content is an important soil property, which determines the soil fertility cum productivity of the soil. The organic carbon values ranged from 0.50 to 0.92 per cent. In general, an increase in organic carbon content was observed in all the treatments that received amendments (Gypsum& DSW) compared to control.

5.Salt distribution pattern in sodic soil

Field experiment has conducted to study the effect of reclamation of sodic soil upon use of distillery spent wash and gypsum, Salt distribution pattern conducted in treatment of distillery spent wash @ 5.0 lakh liters ha^{-1} significantly increased vertical depth of soil pH ranges (7.56,7.68,7.80,8.30) and decreased EC ranges (1.05,1.02,1.00,0.95) followed by horizontal line soil

pH ranges (7.54,7.51,7.49,7.50) and EC ranges (1.04,1.02,1.00,1.02) at 120 DAS after application. The physico- chemical properties reduced in treatment one time application of DSW @ 5 lakh liters ha^{-1}recorded the highest followed by the treatment receiving irrigation with gypsum bed treated alkali water and lowest was recorded in the untreated alkali water irrigated through drip system in non-amended soil.

DISCUSSION

The findings are in line with [8]who reported that the soil pH reduced from 10.4 to 8.04 due to gypsum application. The reduction in soil pH was attributed to displacement of exchangeable Na by the calcium ion of gypsum and subsequent formation of sodium sulphate which get leached out of soil through drainage in the pots. Similar reduction in pH of sodic soil due to the application of gypsum was reported by[9][10] and [11].The observed decline in soil pH suggested reduction in soil sodicity as a result of favourable effects of PM, FYM and gypsum. This may be due to the fact that the organic acids produced during the decomposition of organic amendments could have lowered the soil pH and also increased the solubility of gypsum resulting in removal of some of the Na^{+} from the soil.

High amount of soluble salts present in spent wash on direct application to soil at higher levels creates the problem of salinity [12]. The electrical conductivity of soil was significantly influenced by the application of pressmud than FYM. The results confirm the earlier findings reported by [13],[14].The decrease in soil ESP with addition of amendments (organic / inorganic) either alone or in combination may be attributed to increased Ca in soil solution as a result of addition of gypsum and organic sources which promoted Na displacement and its subsequent removal during irrigation to lower soil layers [15][16].The increase in organic carbon content due to addition of organic manures either alone or in combination with gypsum corroborates the finding reported earlier by[17],[18]. A negative relationship existed between the organic carbon and stage of crop which may be attributed to the decomposition of the organic amendments with time.

CONCLUSTION

The one-time application of DSW at 5 lakh liters per hectare led to the most significant reduction in physico-chemical properties, followed by treatment with gypsum bed-treated alkali water. The lowest reductions were observed in untreated alkali water irrigated through a drip system in non-amended soil. In the experimental field, vertical soil depth showed an increase in pH and a decrease in electrical conductivity (EC), contrasting with the horizontal distances where soil pH and EC were measured.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

1. G.P. Bhargava *et al*. Characteristics and genesis of some sodic soils in the Indo-Gangetic alluvial plains of Haryana and Uttar Pradesh.
2. Clark, G. J., N. Dodgshun, P. G. Sale and C. Tang. 2007. Changes in chemical and biological properties of a sodic clay subsoil with addition of organic amendments. Soil Biol. & Biochem., 39: 2806-2817.
3. Tanji, K.K., Wallender, W.W., 2012. Nature and extent of agricultural salinity and sodicity. In: Wallender, W.W., Tanji, K.K. (Eds.), Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management. ASCE Manuals and Reports on Engineering Practices No. 71. ASCE, Reston, VA, USA, pp. 10–25.
4. Nelson, P.N., and G.J. Ham. 2000. Exploring the response of sugar cane to sodic and saline conditions through natural variation in the field. Field Crop Research 66:245-255.
5. Jackson, M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi.
6. Walkley, A. and Black, C.A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science, 37:29-38.
7. Hanson, B., S.R. Grattan and A. Fulton. 1999. "Agricultural Salinity and Drainage." University of California Irrigation Program. University of California, Davis.
8. Sharma, D. P., K. K. Mehta and J. S. P. Yadav. 1981. Effect of reclamation practices on soil properties and crop growth on farmers' fields - A case study. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 29(3): 356-360.
9. Srinivasa, N., 1995, Characterization and Reclamation of sodic soils in Visveswaraya Canal Tract of Cauvery command area, PhD Thesis submitted to UAS, Bangalore.
10. Ramappajakanur, 1998, Evaluation of flyash as an amendment for reclamation of Saliha, B. B., S. Krishnakumar, A. Saravanan and S.K. Natarajan. 2005. Microbial and enzyme dynamics in distillery spentwash treated soil. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 1: 166-169.
11. Guruprasd, M. S, 2005, Characterization and Reclamation of sodic soils in Kabini Tract of Cauvery command area, M. Sc Thesis submitted to UAS, Bangalore.
12. Patil, K.P., Pandya, R.R., Maliwal, G.L., Patel, K.C., Kamani, V.P. Angeorge, V., 2004, Heavy metal content of different effluents and their relative availability in soils irrigated with effluent waters around major industrial sites of Gujarat. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 52: 89-94.
13. Dang, Y. P. and K. S. Verma. 1996. Direct and residual effect of pressmud cakes in rice – wheat cropping system. J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci., 44(3):448-450.
14. Singh, N. J., H. S. Athokpam, K. N. Devi, N. Chongtham, N. B. Singh, P. T. Sharma and S. Dayananda. 2015. Effect of farm yard manure and press mud on fertility status of alkaline soil under maize-wheat cropping sequence. Afri. J. of Agri. Res.,

10(24): 2421-2431.sodic soils. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
15. Gharaibeh, M. A., N. I. Eltaif and O. F. Shunnar. 2009. Leaching and reclamation of calcareous saline-sodic soil by moderately saline and moderate-SAR water using gypsum and calcium chloride. Plant J. Nutr. Soil Sci., 175: 713–719.
16. Gharaibeh, M. A., N. I. Eltaif and A. A. Albalasmeh. 2011. Reclamation of highly calcareous saline sodic soil using Atriplexhalimus and by-product gypsum. Int. J. Phytomer., 13: 873–883.
17. Selvakumari, G., M. Baskar, D. Jayanthi and K. K. Mathan. 2000. Effect of integration of fly-ash with fertilizers and organic manures on nutrient availability, yield and nutrient uptake of rice in alfisols. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci., 48: 268-278.
18. Sarwar, M. A., M. Ibrahim, M. Tahir, K. Ahmad, Z. I. Khan and E. E. Valeem. 2010. Appraisal of pressmud and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties, yield and sugarcane quality. Pak. J. Bot., 42: 1361-67.

Table 1. Effect of drip irrigation using ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on pH, EC, ESP and Organic carbon of post-harvest soil (Mean of four application)

	pH				**EC (dsm^{-1})**				**ESP (%)**				**Organic carbon (%)**			
Treatment	**S1**	**S2**	**S3**	**Mean**	**S1**	**S2**	**S3**	**Mean**	**S1**	**S2**	**S3**	**Mean**	**S1**	**S2**	**S3**	**Mean**
M1	7.76	7.5	8.75	8	0.6	1.04	0.48	0.7	14.8	12.7	23.2	16.9	0.62	0.9	0.42	0.64
M2	7.71	7.45	8.65	7.93	0.75	1.09	0.54	0.79	14.2	11.8	22.6	16.3	0.77	0.92	0.44	0.71
M3	7.85	7.62	8.8	8.08	0.5	0.98	0.45	0.64	15.5	13.3	23.5	17.4	0.54	0.86	0.39	0.59
Mean	7.77	7.51	8.73	8	0.61	1.03	0.23	0.71	14.8	12.6	23.2	16.8	0.64	0.78	0.41	0.64
	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	S at M	M	S	M at S	Sat M
CD(P=0.05)	0.34	0.23*	0.73	0.5	0.05*	0.06*	0.12	0.48	0.76	0.64*	1.66	1.42	0.04	0.05*	0.09	0.11

Table 2. Effect of drip irrigation using ameliorated alkali water and soil amendments on salt distribution pattern of post-harvest soil (Mean of four application)

S.NO	Vertical depth	pH	EC (dsm^{-1})	Horizontal line	pH	EC (dsm^{-1})
1	0- 5 cm	7.89	1.22	0- 5 cm	7.83	1.15
2	5-10 cm	7.92	1.15	5- 10 cm	7.86	1.17
3	10 -15 cm	7.95	1.02	10- 15 cm	7.96	1.1
4	15- 20 cm	8.6	0.98	15-20 cm	8.12	1.08