

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126036
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on effect of planting dates on seed quality of onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) in North eastern dry zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original research article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript may not be of importance to the scientific community, since the findings are straight such as early planting improves the quality of the onion. Furthermore, it would have been a good paper had the material and method explained more on research design and data collection procedure SEE ATTACHMENT	It is very important from the point of present scenario. Climate change poses several challenges for the continued production of high quality seed. New planting windows are required .
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	OK	No comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is not as per the format and therefore not comprehensive	Abstract is as per the earlier articles, and wherever changes are required inserted.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, Abstract not as per the format. There are no adequate references in introduction.	Sub sections are as per the guidelines only, moreover, journal format is not 100% mandatory. But a I am also referred recent research articles. Recent references are included in introduction.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript lacks scientific correctness	Seed is the basic and most vital input of agriculture, and the seed industry must be able to continue to deliver the quantities of quality seed required for this purpose. Climate change poses several challenges for the continued production of high quality seed. Hence , study is important from the point of scientific onion seed production.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No	Included the additional references.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	No comments
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author may redo the manuscript considering the feedback in manuscript	Consider the review points, wherever required additional information, included in the manuscript

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No