

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125730
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance studies of ridge gourd (Luffa acutangula (L.) Roxb.) Genotypes for growth and yield parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important because it tries to identify the most productive vegetable of these genotypes. It may be also used as a basis to study further about the vegetable by considering different parameters. The manuscript has informative advantage for further study even at the molecular level.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Though the title looks good I am not clear why the study conducted.	It was conducted to study the performance of these genotypes in Bagalkot climatic condition
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is not comprehensive. It should include a clear method used in the experiment and the results should be presented well. Where the plants grow and what type of soil used for the experiment to grow the plant?	Ok, I have incorporated it in revised manuscript
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are not appropriate	Ok, I have incorporated it in revised manuscript
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The assumption thought by the author(s) may be scientifically sound. However, I am not sure that the manuscript is matured to be published since it lacks many components.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are not sufficient and needs additional references to do more discussions.	Ok , I have incorporated it in revised manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	