

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_125947
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence Of Bedbug Infestation (Cimex Hemipterus) And Associated Factors Among The Heads of Households In Nakuru Town, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is appropriate and relevant to improving understanding of the prevalence of bedbugs (Cimex lectularius). The manuscript addresses a very important public health concern. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Although the title: "The Prevalence Of Bedbug Infestation (Cimex Hemipterus) And Associated Factors Among The Heads of Households In Nakuru Town, Kenya" is okay, this can be reframed to make it more apt and descriptive of the dependent and independent variables. Thus, the tile can read: "Associated Factors for the Prevalence of Bedbug Infestation (Cimex Hemipterus) among Households in Nakuru Town, Kenya". Note that the unit of analysis in this study is households and not just heads of households. "Associated Factors for the Prevalence of Bedbug Infestation (Cimex Hemipterus) among Households in Nakuru Town, Kenya". Note that the unit of analysis in this study is households and not just heads of households.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is appropriate	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript if scientifically robust and technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Godwin Etta Odok
Department, University & Country	Federal University Wukari, Nigeria