Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_126422**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF TRAINING AND TOMATO HYBRIDS ON GROWTH AND QUALITY OF TOMATO UNDER SHADE NET HOUSE**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This paper is a great contribution to farming community, demonstrating the outcomes of the tomato hybrids grown under suitable training system. The research conducted and the findings presented have the great potential to adopt improved farming practices by farmers to enhance their economic livelihood. The robust methodological approach, thorough data analysis, and thoughtful interpretation of results make this a high-quality and impactful piece of work.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**The main title should be revised** Effects of Training Techniques and Hybrid Varieties on Tomato Growth and Quality under Shade net house	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract of the article clearly states the purpose of the study and the results obtained.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**The manuscript has well organised, though you can make introduction into 3 paragrahs.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The manuscript presents a well-designed experimental study that closely aligns with established best practices in the field. The theoretical framework is solidly grounded in the relevant literature, and the research questions logically follow from the existing knowledge. The data analysis techniques are appropriate and rigorously applied, and the conclusions drawn are well-supported by the statistical evidence provided.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	**More recent references are to included**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.**	
Optional/General comments	1. **The introduction is good in terms of presenting the scientific background necessary for the reader to understand the topic.** 2. **The materials and methods section needs to be reorganized. Include the details regarding the growth and quality parameters i.e. stage of the crop when the parameters were recorded** 3. **In Results, you have used 4 hybrids, but in i.e. Table 1, only the data regarding STH – 39 is present, why? No yield parameters data has included.** 4. **Discussion needs to be deeper, with more precise and lengthy scientific interpretations of the results obtained, because in its present form, it superficially discusses the results and does not provide a complete scientific explanation.**	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Bhanu Murthy K C**
Department, University & Country	**Dr YSR Horticultural University, India**