

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126422
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF TRAINING AND TOMATO HYBRIDS ON GROWTH, AND QUALITY OF TOMATO UNDER SHADE NET HOUSE
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author managed to identify the problem with tomato production and the need to maximize productivity and quality by employing different training systems and good cultivar selection under net conditions. The author went further to Justine the need for this research due to the significant contribution of this plant. That was very great.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, however, suggestions were made on the document.	corrected
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	yes
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound however look at suggestions make on the document.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not sufficient and mostly outdated. The author need to do a wide reading on the subject matter and provide substantial citation to back up their facts.	corrected
Minor REVISION comments		corrected
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, unless where suggestion were made for grammar.	
Optional/General comments	Data analysis is not clearly stated on the document, results interpretation require revision. More comments or suggestion are made on the document.	corrected

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	