

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126240
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Genetic Variability in Fusarium wilt resistant BC2F1 lines of Yard Long Bean (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> subsp. <i>sesquipedalis</i> (L.) Verdcourt) variety Githika for yield and its related traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is about analysing various genetic parameters for yield and its related traits which is useful for the scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be rewritten as "Genetic Variability in Fusarium Wilt-Resistant Yard Long Bean (Githika Variety) for Yield Traits" Or "Genetic Variability in Fusarium Wilt-Resistant BC2F1 Lines of Yard Long Bean (Vigna unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis) Variety Githika for Yield and Related Traits"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In abstract there can be one line introduction as the abstract is very direct towards the content	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections can be added in introduction	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is about analysing various genetic parameters for yield and its related traits which is useful for the scientific community. Thirty-five resistant backcross progenies were evaluated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Ivo Romauld
Department, University & Country	Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies, India