### **Original Research Article**

EvaluationofGeneticVariabilityin Fusarium wilt resistant BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> lines of Yard Long Bean(*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. sesquipedalis (L.)Verdcourt) variety Githikafor yield and its related traits

#### **ABSTRACT**

Thirty five resistant backcross progenies ( $BC_2F_1$ ) of Yardlong Bean(*Vigna unguiculata*subsp. *sesquipedalis*(L.)Verdcourt) variety Githikawereevaluated forvariousgenetic parameters foryield and itsrelated traits using Completely Randomized Design atDepartment of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture,Vellayani, Trivandrum.High phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation(GCV) were observed for traits such as thenumber of pods per plant, pod weight,pod yield per plant,crude protein content.High Heritability(H) and High Genetic advance as percent mean(GAM) were observed for traits such as Number of pods per plant,Podweight,Podlength,Number of seeds per pod,Pod Yield per plant,Crude fibre and Crude protein content.This significantly suggest thatadditivegeneactionis predominant in thesetraitsandlow reliance byenvironmental factors on these traits,making such character a good candidate for direct selection.The study helps in assessing genetic variability to identify traits as well as lines with maximum similarity with recurrent parent which could be used further for crop improvement program.

Keywords: yardlong bean, phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), Heritability (H), geneticad vance as percent mean (GAM).

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Yardlong bean, scientifically known as *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. ssp. *sesquipedalis*(L.) Verdc., with a chromosome number of 2n = 22, is an annual leguminous plant. It holds significant importance as a vegetable crop in tropical and subtropical regions, particularly in Southeast Asia, South China, and West Africa, where it thrives in temperatures ranging from 25 to 35°C. Yardlong beans are notable for their moderate nutritional value, particularly their protein content, which ranges from 23.5% to 26.3%, earning them the nickname "meat of the poor" [1] It is a highly popular vegetable in Southeast Asia, contributing approximately 7% to the region's total vegetable production[2,3]. Yardlong beans also contribute to soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen. Due to their rapid growth, they play a vital role in sustainable agriculture, especially in the marginal lands of the tropics. However, quality and productivity decline during the monsoon season because of heavy rainfall and unfavorable conditions, which lead to increased vegetative growth and higher pest and disease incidence. The growing demand for yard long beans has prompted large-scale intensive cultivation, resulting in significant crop losses [4].

Fusarium wilt is a devastating disease of cowpea caused by a soil-borne fungus [5]. It can survive in the soil for several years and thrives in wet conditions and high temperatures. Severe attack by Fusarium wilt can cause significant losses in cowpea yield, ranging from 36-90% [6]. Yield losses depend on the stage at which the plants wilt, with losses reaching up to 100% if the wilt occurs at the pre-pod stage [7]. To minimize crop loss from Fusarium wilt and reduce the use of hazardous chemical fungicides, developing and utilizing sustainable host plant resistance mechanisms is essential [8,9]. The backcross method is commonly used to introduce resistance genes into well-adapted but susceptible varieties when resistance is controlled by single genes [10].

PCV and GCV are widely used for assessment of variability [11]. The expression of a trait is influenced by both genetic as well as environmental factors thereby creating variation in relationship pattern, which is highly effective for selection [12]. The measures of Heritability could be used for selecting elite genotypes from diverse genetic population and GA measures the amount of progress that could be expected by the selection of characters [13]. Hence the study aimed to assess genetic variability in backcross progenies of YB for yield and yield related traits.

# 2. MATERIALSANDMETHODS

The plant material for the study was collected at the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding (GPB), College of Agriculture (COA), Vellayani, Kerala, India. The experimental material consist of 35 resistant BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> lines of YB variety Githika. The experiment was conducted at the GPB, COA, Vellayani, from April to July 2024. The resistant lines were planted in polyhouse in Completely Randomized design each with two replications. Agronomic practices were done as per the Package of Practices Recommendations Crops 2016 of Kerala Agricultural University [14]. Data were recorded for yield and yield attributing traits viz., number of primary branches per plant, days to 50% flowering, pod length, pod weight, number of pods per plant, pod yield per plant, crudefibre and crude protein content. The data thus generated were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The statistical analysis for genetic parameters were carried outusing GRAPES software of KAU [15]. The range of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation data were estimated by adopting the statistical methods suggested by Sivasubramanian and Menon [16] and for heritability and genetic advance by Johnson *et al.*[17].

#### 3. RESULTSANDDISCUSSION

#### 3.1 ANOVA

Analysis of variance was done to find the significant differences among the resistant lines for the 8characters. The results suggested that their exists significant variation among 35resistant lines for the 8 different characters studied (Table 1).

Table1: Analysis of variance for 8 characters in 35 resistant BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> lines of Yardlong bean

Character	Meansumofsquai	res	S.E.m	C.D@5%
	Treatment	Error		
Daysto50%flowering	2.925	0.014	0.083	0.239
Number of pods per plant	37.268	1.625	0.901	2.585
Pod weight	27.334	0.268	0.366	1.05
Pod length	30.461	0.418	0.457	1.311
Number of seeds per pod	8.411	1.236	0.786	2.255
Pod yield per plant	25255.680	641.564	17.91	2.028
Crude fibre content	4.907	0.197	0.314	0.90
Crude protein content	38.783	0.23	0.342	0.981

### 3.2 Genetic variability

Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were categorized as low (<10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%) by Sivasubramanian and Menon [16]. Thepresent study revealed that high PCV and GCV were observed for traits such as thenumber of pods per plant, pod weight, pod yield per plant, crude protein content indicating that selection is effective for improvement of these traits (Table 2) [ Figure 1]. These results were similar to the result reported by Savithri et al. [18] for number of pods per plant, pod weight, pod yield per plant and Sivakumar[19] for protein content.Moderate PCV andGCV also provide a greater scope for selection and was observed in traits such as pod length, number of seeds per podand crude fibre content. Moderate PCV and GCV were reported for the number of seeds per pod by Thangamet al.[20].Low PCV and GCV was observed in the trait days to 50%flowering indicating that direct selection of the trait is ineffective for crop improvement. These results were concordance withtheresultsof in Rambabuetal.[21]fordaysto50%flowering.The values of GCV were smaller than PCV,a slight difference were recorded between PCV and GCV which indicates that the influence of environment is very less towards the expression of trait. A slight difference between PCV and GCV were also reported by Savithri et al.[18]

Table2: Estimates of genetic variability parameters of various characters in 35 resistant lines of Yardlong bean

Characters	Mean	PCV(%)	GCV(%)	H2(%)	GAM(5%)
Daysto50%flowering	44.292	2.737	2.724	99.0	5.584
Number of pods per plant	12.625	34.929	33.438	91.6	65.942
Pod weight	17.75	20.930	20.726	98.1	42.278
Pod length	30.94	12.700	12.527	97.3	25.453

Number of seeds per pod	14.708	14.932	12.878	74.4	22.878
Pod yield per plant	228.278	49.848	48.597	95.0	97.599
Crude fibre content	8.529	18.730	17.993	92.3	35.606
Crude protein content	18.239	24.216	24.070	98.8	49.286

## 3.3 HeritabilityandGeneticAdvance

Heritability represents the proportion of phenotypic variance in a trait that is attributable to genetic variation within a population. It indicates the degree to which genetic factors influence the transmission of a characteristic from one generation to the next. The selection of elite genotypes from a diverse genetic population can be evaluated using heritability estimates, which help determine the proportion of phenotypic variation in a trait that is due to genetic factors by Selvi *et al.*[13]. High heritability suggests that selection based on the trait will be more effective in identifying genotypes with superior performance for breeding. Broad sense heritability(H²) is the ratio of genotypicvariance to the total observed variance in the population and heritability values were categorised aslow(<30%),moderate(30-60%) and high(>60%) byJohnson*etal.*[17].

Heritability and GAM for various characters under study is depicted in Figure 2.In the present studyheritabilitywasobserved highforDaysto50%flowering,Number of pods per plant Pod weight,Podlength,Number of seeds per pod,Pod yield per plant,Crudefibre and Crude protein content, indicating the predominance of additive gene action in trait expression. The results werefound similar to the findings of Panchtaet al.[22]fordaysto50%flowering, Selvam et al.[23]for number of pods Kumar et al. [24] for pod weight ,pod length and pod yield per plant,Srinivaset al.[25] for number of seeds per pod, Savithriet al.[18] for crude protein and crude fibre content.

Geneticadvancemeasures theamount of progresswhich could be expected by the selection of characters. The traits coupled with high heritability and high genetic advance could be improved through direct selection by Tefera *et al.* [26]. GAM values are classified as high (>20),moderate(10-20),low(<10) by Johnson *et al.* [17].

High GAMwere recorded for characterssuch asNumber of pods per plant, Podweight, Pod length, Pod yield per plant, Crude fibre and Crude protein content. This suggest that additive gene action is predominant in these traits and direct selection improves the performance of Yardlong bean The results were in line with thefindings of Savithriet al.[18] forNumber of pods per plant, Podweight, Podlength, Number of seeds per pod, Pod yield per plantand Crude protein content; Srinivasetal. [25] fornumber of seeds per pod in cowpea. Moderate GAM was observed by Savithri etal. [18] for crude fibre content. Low GAM was obtained for days to 50% flowering which indicates that the traditional selection method is not significant for improvement of such traits due to the presence of non-additive gene. Similar result was obtained by Rambabu et al. [21]

The broad-sense heritability, combined with genetic advance enhances the precision in assessing the success of trait selection by Johnson *et al.*[17]. High estimates of heritability, along with significant genetic advance as a percentage of the mean, were observed for traits such as Number of pods per plant, Podweight, Pod length, Pod yield per plant, Crude fibre and Crude protein content which suggests that these traits are predominant in additive gene action. Similar findings were reported by Savithri *et al.*[18] for Number of pods per plant, Podweight, Pod length, Pod yield per plant and Crude protein content. High heritability with low GAM was observed for days to 50% flowering which indicates that environment play a role in controlling this trait. This result corroborate with those of Savithri *et al.* [18].




Fig1:PCV&GCVforselectedbiometriccharacters




Fig 2:Heritability&GAMforselectedbiometriccharacters

# 4. CONCLUSION

Thestudyconcludedthathigh PCV and GCV were observed for traits such as thenumber of pods per plant, pod weight, pod yield per plant, crude protein content and Low PCV and GCV was observed in the trait days to 50%flowering. The difference in PCV and GCV is due to additive and non additive gene action . High PCV and GCV indicates that phenotypic variation is largely due to genetic factors and trait could be improved through selection. Low PCV and GCV indicates that limited variation is present in the trait making it a poor candidate for selection. High Heritability and High Genetic advance as percent

mean(GAM) were observed for traits such as Number of pods per plant, Podweight, Podlength, Number of seeds per pod, Pod Yield per plant, Crude fibre and Crude protein content which concludes that traits are highly controlled by additive genetic factors and could be improved through selection as environment factors have less impact on such traits. The results suggests ubstantial variability is present in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> lines of Yard long bean in which selection is effective for crop improvement.

#### 5. REFERENCES

- 1. Litty, V. 2015. Identification of yard long bean (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. sesquipedalis(L.) Verdcourt) genotypes suitable for polyhouse cultivation. PhD thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur,176p.
- 2. Heiser, C.B. 1990. Seed to civilization: the story of food. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- 3. Ali, M., Farooq, U., Shih, Y.Y. 2002. Vegetable research and development in the ASEAN region: a guideline for setting priorities. In: Perspectives of ASEAN cooperation in vegetable research and development. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Shanhua: 20–64.
- 4. Kuo, C.G. 2002. Perspectives of ASEAN cooperation in vegetable research and development. In: Proceedings of the forum on the ASEAN-AVRDC regional network on vegetable research and development. AARNET. Research. AVRDC.
- 5. Okiror, M. A. 2002. Genetics of resistance to *Fusarium udum* in pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. *Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed*. 62: 218–220.
- 6. Hussain, F. and Usman, F. 2019. Fungal biotic stresses in plants and its control strategy. Abiotic and biotic stress in plants.1-7.
- 7. Srasvan Kumar, G., S.V.S. Raju. and Swathi Yadav Kattula. 2017. Role of Different Abiotic Factors Influencing Fluctuations of Fruit and Shoot Infestation Caused by *Eariasvittella* on Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.).*Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* 6(6):2514-2521.
- 8. Saxena, K.B. 2008. Genetic improvement of pigeon pea- a review. Trop. Plant Biol.1:159-178.
- 9. Jain, S., Weeden, N.F., Kumar, A., Chittem, K. and McPhee, K. 2015. Functional codominant marker for selecting the Fw gene conferring resistance to Fusarium wilt race 1 in pea. *Crop Sci.* 55:2639-2646.
- 10. Gaur, P.M., Jukanti, A.K. and Varshney, R.K. 2012. Impact of genomic technologies on chickpea breeding strategies. *Agron.* 2:199-221.
- 11. Rispail, N. and Rubiales, D., 2015. Rapid and efficient estimation of pea resistance to the soilborne pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* by infrared imaging. *Sensors*, 15(2): 3988-4000.
- 12. Magloire, N. 2005. The genetic, morphological and physiological evaluation of African cowpea genotypes. M.Sc. (Ag.) thesis, University of Free State, Bloemfontein, 131p.

- 13. Selvi, R., Muthiah, A.R., Maheswaran, M. and Shanmugasundaram, P. 2003. Genetic diversity in the genus Vigna, based on morphological traits and isozyme markers. *Sabrao J Breed. Genet.* 35:103-112.
- 14. KAU (Kerala Agricultural University), Package of practices recommendations: Crops (15th Ed.).

- Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur. 2016;393.
- 15. Gopinath PP, Prasad R, Joseph B, Adarsh VS. GRAPES: General Rshiny Based Analysis Platform Empowered by Statistics. 2020. https://www.kaugrapes.com
- 16. Sivasubramanian S, Menon M. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. *Madras Agric. J.* 1973; 60:1093-1096.
- 17. Johnson HW, Robinson H, Comstock RF. Estimates of Genetic and Environmental Variability in Soybean. *Agron. J.* 1955; 47: 314 318.
- 18. Savithiri, N., Beaulah, A., Thingalmaniyan, K.S., Rajeswari, S. and Kumar, R. 2018. Study on genetic variability for yield and quality of different genotypes of yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* sub sp. sesquipedalis(L.) Verd.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, 7(9):3613-3617.
- 19. Sivakumar, V. 2012. Screening of vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) germplasm for yield quality and resistance to collar rot and web blight. M.Sc. (Hort.) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 175p.
- 20. Thangam, M., Ramachandrudu, K., Kumar, A. and Safeena, S.A. 2020. Variability and genetic divergence in vegetable cowpea germplasm of Goa. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 15(1):45-51.
- 21. Rambabu, E., Reddy, K.R., Kamala, V., Saidaiah, P. and Pandravada, S.R., 2016. Genetic variability and heritability for quality, yield and yield components in yardlong bean (*Vignaunguiculata* (L.) Walp. ssp. sesquipedalisVerdc.). Green Farming Int. J, 7, pp.311-315.
- 22. Panchta, R., Arya, S., Dalvinder, P.S., Satpal, P. and Kumar, R. 2020. Genetic variability and association studies in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] for seed yield and related traits. *Forage Res.* 46(3):232-235.
- 23. Selvam, Y.A., Manivannan, N., Murugan, S., Thangavelu, P. and Ganesan, J. 2000. Variability studies in cowpea [Vigna unguiculata L. (Walp.)]. Legume Res. Int. J. 23(4):279-280.
- 24. Kumar, K.P., Kumar, R.N., Nagajothi, T., Lamror, R. and Kumari, R.U. 2013.Morphological and genetic variation studies in cowpea genotypes [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.)] Walp. *Legume Res. Int. J.* 36(4):351-354.
- 25. Srinivas, J., Kale, V.S., Nagre, P.K. and Soratur, R.S. 2017. Study of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 6(6):3314-3318.
- 26. Tefera, A., Sentayehu, A. and Leta, T. 2017. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and its related traits in rainfed lowland rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) genotypes at Fogera and Pawe, Ethiopia. *Adv. Crop Sci. Technol.*5:2.

JANDER PERENTAL AND A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF