

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_126240
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Genetic Variability in Fusarium wilt resistant BC2F1 lines of Yard Long Bean (<i>Vigna unguiculata</i> subsp. <i>sesquipedalis</i> (L.) Verdcourt) variety Githika for yield and its related traits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vital research and It is an important to share their discover knowledge, and ideas with the scientific	Yes
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable	ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-The abstract could be rewritten to be clear.	Edited as per suggested
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate	ok
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	references need up date.	updated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language needs improvements. Many sentences have strange wording that makes the manuscript difficult to read.	Improvements done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	 The language needs improvements. Many sentences have strange wording that makes the manuscript difficult to read. -The abstract could be rewritten to be clear. The abstract lacks specific details about the results and their implications.	Corrections were done as per mentioned

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	