

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125602
Title of the Manuscript:	Impacts of Climate Change and Heat Waves on Public Health and Livelihoods in India: A Review of Recent Literatures
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3
PART1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled " <i>Impacts of Climate Change and Heat Waves on Public Health and Livelihoods in India: A Review of Recent Literatures</i> " is important for scientists, especially those studying climate and health. It talks about how climate change, especially heat waves, affects people's health and their way of living in India. This is a serious issue that needs attention, and the paper helps us understand how big the problem is.	Thank you for the feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article, " <i>Impacts of Climate Change and Heat Waves on Public Health and Livelihoods in India: A Review of Recent Literatures</i> ", is generally suitable as it clearly reflects the content and focus of the paper.	Title changed to: "Climate Change and Heatwaves in India: Review of Impacts on Public Health, Livelihoods and Policy"
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract could be clearer and more complete. It should briefly mention the main findings of the review, highlighting how climate change and heat waves are affecting health and livelihoods in India. Additionally, it would be helpful to clearly state the purpose of the review and what it aims to achieve. Finally, a concluding statement summarizing the importance of the findings or their implications would make the abstract stronger and more comprehensive.	We have included the objective, main findings and concluding statement in the revised abstract.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, as they follow a logical flow. However, it would be helpful to ensure that each section clearly addresses a specific aspect of the topic.	Necessary changes have been made.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, as it reviews a range of studies related to climate change and heat waves, drawing on credible sources to support its claims. The discussion of the effects on public health and livelihoods in India is based on well-established scientific evidence, making it reliable. The use of recent literature ensures that the findings are up-to-date, adding to the scientific robustness. Overall, the manuscript is technically sound, as it presents data logically and supports conclusions with evidence from the literature reviewed.	Thank you for your feedback.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are good. Aim for a mix of journal articles, reports from reputable organizations (like WHO etc.), and books that cover various aspects of your topic. This breadth can provide a more comprehensive view of the issues.	Thank you for the feedback.
Minor REVISION comments		Thank you for the feedback.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes its quality is good.	
Optional/General comments	Consider including a broader range of studies beyond the top 30 most cited papers to capture diverse perspectives and emerging research on heatwave impacts and mitigation strategies.	Thank you for your feedback.

PART2:

Review Form 3

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlightthat part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/herfeedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues.