# ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	<u>InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandClimateChange</u>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_125481
Titleof theManuscript:	Aggregation ofKey EvaluationIndicatorsforWatershedDevelopmentProgrammes
Type of theArticle	

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

Commute on APT///CION community	Davisussiasassussast	Authorize Cook and Alberta Cook
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part
		inthemanuscript. Itismandatory that authors should write his/herfeedback
		here)
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingthe importance	-Thispaperproposedthe importance of the process of	
ofthismanuscript forthescientificcommunity. Why do youlike (or	selectingandaggregatingthekeyindicatorsto assessthesuccessof	
dislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4 sentencesmaybe	watersheddevelopment initiatives.	
required for thispart.	-Thispaperpresentedavariety ofkeyevaluationindicatorsthat provided knowledgefor	
	academic.	
· ·	<b>-</b>	
Isthetitle ofthe articlesuitable?	Itissuitable.	
	itissuitable.	
(Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)		
· ·		
Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyou	Itissuitable.	
suggesttheaddition(or deletion)ofsomepointsinthis section?	itissuitable.	
Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.		
Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.		
Aresubsectionsandstructureofthe manuscript	-Thispaperincluded34 pagesthatis	
appropriate?	toomuch.lsuggestthatauthorsshoulddomany presented	
appropriate:	indicatorsinthispaper, are inform of table. It is better.	
· ·		
	-Authorsshouldcomparevariouskeyindicatorsinthispaperandshowconandprotoo.	
Pleasewriteafewsentencesregardingthescientific correctness	Inmyopinion,thispaper	
ofthismanuscript.Whydo youthinkthat thismanuscript	isnotscientificallyrobustandtechnicallysoundbecauseauthorsjust show	
isscientificallyrobustandtechnically sound? Aminimum of 3-4	equationsofkeyevaluationindicators.	
sentencesmay berequired for thispart.		
,		
Arethereferencessufficientandrecent?lfyouhave		
suggestionsofadditionalreferences, pleasemention		
theminthe reviewform.		
-		
MinorREVISIONcomments		
VIIIOI IL VIOIO VOOITIIIGIIIS		
letho languago/Englichqualityofthoortiologuitable		
Isthe language/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable		
forscholarly communications?		
	Interior formal values it about the admindrative Oranto (4) and the interior and (0) the office of	
Optional/General comments	Intopicofconclusions,it shouldbe dividedinto2parts: (1)conclusionsand(2)directionsforfuture	
<b>!</b>	research.	
<b>!</b>		

Createdby:DR Checkedby:PM Approvedby:MBM Version:3 (07-07-2024)

# ReviewForm3

# PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Yaowaret Jantakat
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand

Createdby:DR Checkedby:PM Approvedby:MBM Version:3 (07-07-2024)