

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_121256
Title of the Manuscript:	Begait sheep production systems and breeding practices of smallholder farmers in Tigrai, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is so important to understand the characteristic of the breed and production system, and also constraints truncating rearing and improvement of Begait sheep	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Review on the basis of comments given	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The issue to be addressed by the manuscript is very important for the breed and production system improvement. It would be the entrance point for further in detail study to design breeding design. It is important to study the level of the impact of each constraints to set priority to propose improvement the production system to benefit the community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	About 25% of the references are too old and need to be revisited. The highlighted references in the references list are not cited in the text body, and has to be rechecked.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is acceptable with some rephrasing points indicated in the comment given.	
Optional/General comments	The article is acceptable with minor correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamrat Degefa Geleto
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia