

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_126400
Title of the Manuscript:	A Compartmental Modelling of the Dynamics of Poverty and Cybercrime in South-South Zone of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study examined the impact of poverty on cybercrime in the South-South region of Nigeria. The findings revealed that poverty and cybercrime rate can be reduced with the help of intervention programmes.	Exactly! Thank you so much
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for the study.	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive; however, the manuscript must be re-checked for grammatical errors. In the abstract for instance, “Poverty and cybercrime are two topical concepts that has attracted a lot of interest in Nigeria”. It should have been Poverty and cybercrime are two topical concepts that have attracted a lot of interest in Nigeria.	All grammatical errors have been corrected in the manuscript. Thanks for your observation,
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	1. Not all the sections are appropriate.	Section 4 has been moved to Appendix as you rightly suggested.
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	2. The references are insufficient. The authors should add more related literature to the work.	The literature review has been beefed up as suggested.

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>A Compartmental Modelling of the Dynamics of Poverty and Cybercrime in South-South Zone of Nigeria</p> <p>Reviewer's report</p> <p>The study examined a comprehensive framework for analyzing the dynamics of poverty and its relationship with cybercrime in the South-South region of Nigeria. The findings revealed that poverty and cybercrime rate can be reduced with the help of intervention programmes. Based on my observations, the following comments should be addressed before resubmitting it.</p> <p>1. The manuscript must be re-checked for grammatical errors. In the abstract for instance, "Poverty and cybercrime are two topical concepts that has attracted a lot of interest in Nigeria". It should have been Poverty and cybercrime are two topical concepts that have attracted a lot of interest in Nigeria.</p> <p>2. Remove unnecessary hyphens (-). Example words in the abstract and keywords: Change "in-tervention" to "intervention" and "Differ-ential" to "Differential."</p> <p>3. In the introduction, the authors should add more related literature to the work.</p> <p>4. The authors should rewrite and summarize the assumptions contained in the model formulation in a single paragraph for clarity.</p> <p>5. Move Section 4 (Numerical Analysis of the Model) to the appendix instead of keeping it in the main text.</p> <p>6. The authors should remove recommendations from the conclusion and rewrite the conclusion to focus solely on summarizing the study's findings.</p>	<p>The Manuscript has been purged of grammatical errors right from the abstract to introduction down to the conclusion.</p> <p>The literature review has been beefed up as rightly suggested by you. The assumptions were re-written in a single paragraph for clarity like you pointed out.</p> <p>Section 4 which is the Numerical Analysis of the Model has been moved to the Appendix.</p> <p>The recommendation in the conclusion was completely removed and focused solely on our findings.</p> <p>Thank you so much for the time you spent reviewing our manuscript.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.