Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJA_126439**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Detection of seed mycoflora associated with mustard (Brassica juncea L.) by various seed health testing methods**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mustard is an important oilseed crop, and seedborne pathogens significantly impact on disease severity, seed germination, and crop yield. Therefore, research on seedborne diseases should receive greater emphasis. This manuscript addresses this crucial area of study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**Title of the article is well suitable.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Yes,** I recommend adding more points to the discussion section. For example, the comparison of seed diagnosis on two different media should be justified. Additionally, the increased detection of Fusarium in acidified PDA warrants thorough discussion. Finally, it's important to address the differences in seed germination and infection behavior on various media. While the findings are close to genuine results, they should be explained briefly for clarity .	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Structure and subsections are good**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As mentioned above, all details presented in the results should be thoroughly justified in the discussion section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	**References part is sufficienct**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The sentence structure should be modified or restructured to enhance the quality of the language.	
Optional/General comments	This is a good article on an important topic, but it **requires a rewrite to improve its content**	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**S. Sudhasha**
Department, University & Country	**Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India**