

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_126093
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield gap analysis in adoption of production technology and economics of coconut at farmers field of Tumkuru district
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author'sFeedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part In the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written scientifically. The data are presented in proper manner.	The adoption of different production package of practices in coconut shows positive impact on yield and economics of coconut through adoption of demonstrated technology.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is well suited.	Title kept same as per reviewer comment
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive ? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written in concise form.	Minor corrected and kept same as per reviewer comment
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are written in appropriate form.	Minor corrected and kept same as per reviewer comment
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically Sound ? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is academically well written. The manuscript is very helpful and the subject is suitable for publication.	--
Are the references sufficient and recent ? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention the min the review form. -	Correct the no.7. Write the author name in correct form.	Corrected as per reviewer comment
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/ English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for publication.	--
Optional/ General comments		Nil

ReviewForm3
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I agreed with Reviewer comment