

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJA_126093</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Yield gap analysis in adoption of production technology and economics of coconut at farmers field of Tumkuru district</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Manuscript is important which may be helpful to the scientific community for effective transfer of agricultural technologies up to the client level.</b>	The adoption of different production package of practices in coconut shows positive impact on yield and economics of coconut through adoption of demonstrated technology.
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes.</b>	Title kept same as per reviewer comment
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Yes.</b>	Minor corrected and kept same as per reviewer comment
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes.</b>	Minor corrected and kept same as per reviewer comment
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Manuscript is quite scientifically showing the technology gap ,extension gap, B:C ratio and yield gap etc.</b>	Minor corrected and kept same as per reviewer comment

### Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient.	Corrected as per reviewer comment
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language / quality is suitable with some corrections as shown in the manuscript with red colour for deletion and addition etc.	--
Optional/General comments	Appreciable study	Nil

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I agreed with Reviewer comment