Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJA_126067**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Methods of Establishment and Zinc Management on Growth and Yield of Rice (Oryza sativa L.)**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**Rice is one of the most important crops grown widely in India and the world as a whole. Moreover, Zn deficiency is very common in Indo-Gangetic plain and the study is very pertinent to the prevailing problems face by many farmers. Therefore, the work will be useful for farmer to increase the productivity by employing the best selected method.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**Suitable**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Yes. But it is not in journal format. Kindly ask to rewrite in the format provided for the journal.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**Appropriate. However, the author did not follow the guideline of journal. First, the sections and subsections are not numbered. Second, the table heading is also not correct.**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**The author has conducted the experiment following standard design and statistical analysis. The results provided are sufficient to substantiate the findings and the inference thereafter.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**References are not sufficient. Could add more in discussion part.**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**The language is fine. However, there are lots of spelling and grammatical mistake throughout the manuscript. The author copy pasted the major parts of the manuscript from a drafted document (may be thesis or from previous communicated documents). The author needs to rectify the mistakes. Subscript in chemical symbol and superscript in units and inverse should be taken care of.**	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Yengkhom Bijen Kumar**
Department, University & Country	**ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, India**