Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126579
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evolution of Cameroonian Cooperation with the Soviet Union and the Russian Federation: A Historical and Geopolitical Analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
Compulsory RE VISION Comments	Reviewer's Comment	part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the	The research paper provides a comprehensive historical overview of Cameroon's shifting alliances	,
importance of this manuscript for the scientific	from French to Russian influence, detailing economic and military cooperation and cultural exchanges.	
community. Why do you like (or dislike) this	It addresses contemporary issues like the Russia-Ukraine conflict and internal conflicts such as the	
manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	Anglophone crisis. The paper situates these relations within Russia's broader strategy in Africa,	
required for this part.	challenging Western influences and contributing to global power dynamics discourse.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	Yes	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do	The abstract of the research paper is well-structured and provides a clear overview of the study's	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some	focus, historical context, and methodology. However, there are several areas where it could be	
points in this section? Please write your	improved to enhance clarity, comprehensiveness, and alignment with academic standards:	
suggestions here.	The abstract does not explicitly state the research questions or objectives.	
	The abstract should include a brief summary of the key findings or conclusions of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	Yes	
appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its comprehensive historical	
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do	context, rigorous methodology, and multidimensional analysis, use of relevant data, contemporary	
you think that this manuscript is scientifically	relevance, and scholarly engagement. However, While the paper cites various historical sources and	
robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	analyses, it appears to rely heavily on existing literature without presenting original research findings or	
sentences may be required for this part.	primary data analysis. This limits the manuscript's contribution to the field. The paper presents facts	
	and historical timelines but lack critical engagement with the sources.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you	Yes	
have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
-		
Minor REVISION comments		
	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article		
suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		
	The figure 1 used to show Cameroon Scholarship Students Awarded by Cameroon and Russia	
	Federation should be used in the section on Educational and Cultural Exchanges	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aadil Hussain Wagay
Department, University & Country	Islamic University of Science and Technology, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)