

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126579
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evolution of Cameroonian Cooperation with the Soviet Union and the Russian Federation: A Historical and Geopolitical Analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article provides an in-depth analysis of relations between two countries, namely, Cameroon and Russia. Content justifies the title of the article. However, the abstract mentions Cameroon's history with France and its efforts at balancing ties vis-à-vis Russia. It would be beneficial to the readers if the author discussed briefly the history of Cameroon's relations with France or even a bit on its engagements with colonial powers including France.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable. Content justifies the title of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	OK
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	Thanks
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article lays down the format of the article in its abstract, and that is followed. The methodology of the article spells out clearly the aim of the article. Subsections of the article neatly track the history of the relationship between the two countries and the current scenario, making the article technically sound.	OK
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language of this article is lucid. It is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	