

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_126579
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evolution of Cameroonian Cooperation with the Soviet Union and the Russian Federation: A Historical and Geopolitical Analysis
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As Africa's geopolitical map is changing, this excellent manuscript provides us important perspectives on the current and future hand of Cameroonian-Russian relations, underlining the evolution of both their military and economy dimensions. Due to its multi-sourced and relatively balanced nature it is a useful tool to assess the foreign policy tools behind rising powers such as Russia in Africa. This analysis also adds depth to the study of African diplomacy and international relations that is often focused externally, considering internal conflicts such as the Anglophone crisis, which it renders topical and relevant.	Comments accepted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Looks good fitting with the contents	Comments accepted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes but needs some more background	The detailed background is in my MSc thesis which is yet to be defended
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It seems appropriate	Comments accepted
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The overall substance of the manuscript is scientifically sound and based on credible primary and secondary sources throughout the manuscript. The qualitative framework is ideal for a comprehensive analysis of the historical and geopolitical aspects of Cameroonian-Russian relationships. The deft arguing for and against benefits of defense agreements and its balance nature are the features of this entry that make it reliable and wonderful contribution to this area.	Comments accepted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Fully satisfied with the references. They serve the purpose.	Comments accepted
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor amendments	Comments accepted
Optional/General comments	Well written	Comments accepted

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	