

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_126417 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IMPACT OF TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP STYLE ON TEACHERS’ PERFORMANCE IN 12 YEAR-BASIC EDUCATION IN NYARUGURU DISTRICT-RWANDA |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● The manuscript addresses a significant research gap in educational leadership by examining how transformational leadership influences teachers' performance.● The study's focus on a rural context adds an important dimension to the literature on educational leadership.● I appreciate this manuscript's structured approach and rigorous analysis, contributing to a deeper understanding of leadership's role in enhancing educational outcomes.● This research offers practical recommendations for policy-makers and school administrators. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title effectively communicates the core focus of the study. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive however, a sentence emphasizing the gap or the significance of the problem would give readers a better understanding of the study's motivation. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The structure and subsections of the manuscript are good enough. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● The manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by employing a rigorous mixed-methods approach to explore the impact of transformational leadership on teachers' performance.● The study adheres to established theoretical frameworks which strengthens the conceptual underpinnings of the research.● The statistical analyses seem appropriate, the paper should clarify how missing data (if any) were handled. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | The references in the manuscript seem to cover a wide range of relevant literature. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language quality of the article is mostly suitable for scholarly communication however, there are instances where the sentences could be simplified or shortened for better readability. I recommend a professional proofreading of the manuscript for grammar and clarity. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Muhammad Ali |
| Department, University & Country | Virtual University of Pakistan, Pakistan |