# Original Research Article

Characterization and Classification of Soils of Chamba Block of Tehri Garhwal District of Uttarakhand: A Case Studyfrom Lesser Himalayas

#### **ABSTRACT**

In the present study, an attempt was made to characterize and classify the soils occurring on different topography in Chamba block of Tehri Garhwal district of Uttarakhand. Nine representative pedons(covering all the soil types) were studied for their morphological, physical and chemical properties. These soils were very shallow to deep, dark grayish brown to dark brown/dark yellowish brown, brown to dark yellowish brown, dark grayish brown to olive brown and very dark grayish brown to dark yellowish brown in colour. Structure of the surface soils varied from sub-angular blocky to disturbed to single grain. Soil texture varied fromsandy loam to sandy loam, sandy loam to loam, loamy sand to sand and loam to clay loam in texture, strongly acidic to acidic (<5.5-6.5) and neutral (6.5-7.5) in reaction and had medium (0.50-0.75%) to high (>0.75%) organic carbon content. Soils were grouped under *Entisols* and *Inceptisols* orders.

**Keywords:**Landforms, soil characterization, soil classifications, soil physico-chemical properties, western Himalayas.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Soils are one of the vital natural resources it produces food, fodder, fiber and fuel for burgeoning population of human and animals. In hilly areas, the cultivated area is limited for biomass production. At the same time, this biomass production is constrained by spatial physicochemical properties such as depth, drainage, soil reaction (pH) and so on. The existing soil

degradation in the regionis further aggravated by susceptible geology, topography, inappropriate agricultural practices; indiscriminate deforestation and climate change (1,2). The lesser Himalayas characterized by varying elevations, slopes, climatic conditions and they influence the soil properties and its uses. Such variations in soil properties have different suited uses such as agriculture, forestry, horticulture and grasslands. Due to above inherent bio-physical constraints in production ecosystem in hilly region makes them susceptible to high risk and have low resilience against climate change (3,4). In addition, increasing agriculture moisture stress is likely due to erratic and intense rainfall in the rainfed production system of hilly region. In such scenario, reorientation of rainfed agriculture by soil and water conservation, rain water harvesting, crop diversification, adopting eco-sustainable agriculture practices has been suggested against climate change (5). Therefore, the detailed scientific appraisal of soil resources is essential to know its constraints, potentials, capabilities and their suitability for various uses (6,7,8). Such detailed database will be helpful for understanding of soil capabilities for developing scientific land use plan, resource conservation planning, optimizing economic returns and adoption site specific climate resilient practices, technologies for sustainable production and management of soil resources in the ecologically fragile and socio-economically backward region of Himalaya. In view of the above, a detailed soil survey of Chamba block of Tehri Garhwal district, Uttarakhand was conducted using latest geospatial technology in order to generate soil resource database.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Description of the study area

The study was carried out in Chamba block (30°8′52″N to 30°24′32″N and 78°15′22″E to 78°36′21″E, TGA:16256 ha) of Tehri Garhwal district in Uttarakhand state, located on the outer ranges of the mid Himalayas. It falls in warm, moist dry sub-humidagro-ecological subregion (AESR) No. 14.2 (9). The physiography of the block is characterized by high mountain peaks, deep gorges and valleys. Geology of the block is very complex due to the repeated tectonic disturbances caused by different orogenic cycles. A group of regionally metamorphosed rocks known as Central Crystalline are exposed in the Central Himalaya. Major rock types of Central Crystalline are migmatites, psammitic and mica gneiss, calc gneiss, quartzite, marble mica schist and amphibolites. Granites of different ages ranging from Paleoproterozoic to Mesozoic-Tertiary intrude the Central Crystalline (10).

The climate varies from cold temperate, tropical to sub-tropical. The January is the coldest month andtemperature becomes highest usually during June. Relative humidity in the area increases rapidly with the onset of monsoon and reaches maximum (85%) during August. The average annual rainfall of the area is 1894.2 mm and it varied from 449.9 mm to 1388.1 mm. The soil moisture control section (SMCS) does not remain dry in any part of it for as long as 90 cumulative days in a year or any part of it does not remain dry for as long as 45 consecutive days after summer solstice suggesting udic soil moisture regime in the area (9,11). During the summer the average temperature is 20° C, varying between 13.6 and 25.2 °C, whereas, in winter the average mean temperature is 16.3 °C, varying between 11.1 and 19 °C, thus the area qualifies for thermic temperature regime (11,12). The principal kharif crops grown in the area are maize, rice and finger-millets while during rabi season, wheat, barley and vegetables crops are the main crops. The natural vegetation consists of trees ofpine, conifers, sal, deodar, rhododendron, birch,

alder and various types of fruit tree like the cornel, figs, jaiphal, mulberry, apples, pears, apricots, plums, peaches, oranges and limes are found in the block besides a variety of herbal plants bushes, shrubs, grass and weeds.

#### 2.2 Remote sensing data and soil sampling methodology

The high-resolution remote sensing data product of the IRS-R2, LISS-IV (06 December 2012) was used to generate base map for field work. A detailed soil survey was carried out by simple random sampling and studying master profiles using Landscape Ecological Unit's map on 1:10000 scale to study the soils (13). Nine typical pedons, covering all the major landforms (Table 1) were selected for characterization of soils and soil samples were collected from all the horizons of the selected pedons. The horizon wise soil samples of the representative pedons were analyzed for various physical and chemical properties such as particle size distribution, pH, EC, organic carbon, cation exchange capacity, exchangeable bases as per the standard laboratory procedures (14,15,16). The horizon-wise morphological properties including depth, color, structure, texture, gravels, consistence, and occurrence of nodules were described using soil description guidelines (17). The soils were classified as per Keys to Soil Taxonomy (18).

# 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1 Morphological characteristics

Pedons P7 and P9 were deep with more than 100 cm depth, and the pedons P6 and P8 were moderately deep, and P3 and P5 were moderately shallow, while, P1, P2 and P4 were shallow (Table 2). The variation in the depth could be attributed to the variation in elevation of the different landforms, slope, topography and earlier geomorphic processes of subhumidregions. Soils with similar depth variation occur in various landforms in the sub-humid regions of Almora district (19).

The colour of the pedon P7 varying from dark yellowish brown to brown to dark yellowish brown (10YR4/4, 3/4, 4/6) while pedon P9varying from very dark grayish brown to dark yellowish brown (10YR3/2, 3/3, 3/4). The pedon P6has colour varying from very dark grayish brown to dark yellowish brown and brown to dark yellowish brown (10YR4/3, 3/4) while P8 hascolour varying from dark grayish brown to olive brown (2.5Y4/3, 4/4, 4/3). The pedons P3 and P5 were brown to dark brown to dark yellowish brown (10YR4/4, 3/3, 3/4) to brown to dark yellowish brown (10YR4/3, 4/4) in colour. The pedons P1, P2 and P4 were dark yellowish brown (10YR4/4) to dark grayish brown (10YR4/2) to brown to dark yellowish brown (10YR4/3, 4/4). The variation in the colour of surface and subsurface horizons appears to be the function of chemical and mineralogical composition of soils (20). The 10YR hue observed in the pedons P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7 and P9 could be due to moderate weathering of basaltic parent material (21).

The size of the structure varied from 0 to 2 and strength of the structure varied from moderate to fine to weak and the structure of the surface soils varied from sub-angular blocky (P9) to single grain (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7 and P8). There is not so much variation in the structure of the surface horizons due to slopes, organic matter content and erosion in the region.

Table 1. Site characteristics and land use of studied pedons

Pedon	Landform	Elevation (m)	Slope (%)	Drainage	Run-off	Erosion	Present land use	
P1	Upper hillside slopes	1514	33-50	excessive	very rapid	severe	wheat	
P2	Upper hillside slopes	1302	25-33	excessive	very rapid	severe	Arhar, wheat	
P3	Upper hillside slopes	1897	25-33	somewhat excessive	rapid	severe	Potato, wheat, pea, barley, mandua	
P4	Lower hillside slopes	1169	10-15	well	medium	moderate	Wheat, mandua	
P5	Lower hillside slopes	1680	15-25	well	rapid	moderate	Paddy, Jhangora, wheat, pea	
P6	Lower hillside slopes	1521	25-33	excessive	rapid	severe	Wheat, mandua, arhar, rajma	
P7	Lower hillside slopes	1662	15-25	well	rapid	moderate	Wheat, pea, arhar, rajma	
P8	River terraces	1092	3-5	somewhat excessive	medium	moderate	Wheat, paddy, tomato	
P9	River terraces	1086	3-5	moderately well	medium	moderate	Wheat, paddy, tomato, pea	

Table 2. Morphological characteristics of the studied pedons

Pedon	Depth (cm)	Horizon	Boundary	Munsell Colour (Moist)	Structure	Gravel (%)	Plasticity	Roots
P1	0-17	Ap	c s	10YR 4/4	f 0 sg	60	p0	m vf f
	17-25	AC		10YR 4/4	massive	>80	p0	vf c
P2	0-16	Ap	c s	10YR 4/2	f 0 sg	70	p0	m vf f
	16-36	AC		10YR 4/2	massive	>80	p0	m vf
P3	0-12	Ap	c s	10YR 4/4	f 1 sg	60	p0	vf f c
	12-34	C1	g s	10YR 3/3	f 0 sg	70	sp	vff
	34-61	C2		10YR 3/4	f 0 sg	>90	sp	vff
P4	0-11	Ap	c s	10YR 4/3	f 0 sg	50	p0	vf f c
	11-29	AC		10YR 4/4	massive	>70	sp	vf c
P5	0-15	Ap	c s	10YR 4/3	f 0 sg	45	p0	vf f c
	15-29	AC	c s	10YR 4/4	f 1 sbk	50	sp	vf f c
	29-37	C1	g s	10YR 4/4	f 0 sg	50	sp	vff
	37-55	C2		10YR 4/4	f 0 sg	>80	p0	-
P6	0-18	Ap	c s	10YR 4/3	f 0 sg	50	p0	vf f c
	18-44	AC	g s	10YR 3/4	f 0 sg	50	p0	vff
	44-59	C1	g s	10YR 3/4	f 0 sg	70	p0	vff
	59-75	C2		10YR 3/4	f 0 sg	>90	sp	-
P7	0-17	Ap	c s	10YR 4/4	f 0 sg	20	sp	m vf f
	17-46	Bw1	c w	10YR 3/4	m 2 sbk	20	sp	vf f c
	46-74	Bw2	c s	10YR 4/4	m 2 sbk	20	sp	vff
	74-98	BC	g s	10YR 4/6	m 1 sbk	40	sp	-
	98-127	C		10YR 4/6	m 1 sbk	>80	sp	-
P8	0-15	Ap	c s	2.5Y 4/3	f 0 sg	15	p0	m vf f
	15-31	1C1	c s	2.5Y 4/4	f 0 sg	50	p0	vf f c
	31-50	1C2	c s	2.5Y 4/3	f 0 sg	60	p0	vff
	50-71	2C3	g s	2.5Y 4/3	f 0 sg	60	p0	vff
	71-88	2C4		2.5Y 4/3	f 0 sg	>80	p0	vff
P9	0-19	Ap	c s	10YR 3/2	f 1 sbk	15	sp	vf f c
	19-34	Bw1	c s	10YR 3/2	m 1 sbk	50	sp	vff

34-48	Bw2	g s	10YR 3/2	m 1 sbk	70	sp	vff
48-77	Bw3	g s	10YR 3/3	m 1 sbk	40	sp	-
77-91	Bw4	g s	10YR 3/4	m 1 sbk	40	sp	-
91-111	C		10YR 3/4	massive	60	sp	-

#### 3.2 Physical characteristics

The data of physical properties of the nine pedons is presented in table 3. Sand content varied from 49.8 to 85% in surface horizons and from 37.7 to 91.5% in the sub-surface horizons. The sand content showed irregular distribution with depth in all the pedons. Silt content varied from 9.5 to 37.7% in surface horizons and from 5.5 to 38% in the subsurface horizons. The silt content also showed irregular trend with depth in the pedons, except in P1, P2, P5 and P7 where, it increased with depth. Clay content varied from 5.5 to 17.5% in surface horizons and from 2.25 to 29% in the sub-surface horizons. The clay content of the sub-surface horizons was higher than the surface horizons in the pedons, except in P2, P4 and P8 where in, it decreased with depth.

Soil texture varied from sandy loam (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6 and P7) to loam (P9) to loamy sand (P8) in surface horizons and from sandy loam to sandy loam (P1, P2, P3, P4, P5 and P6), sandy loam to loam (P7), loamy sand to sand (P8) and loam to clay loam (P9) in the subsurface horizons. As observed in the present study, the loamy, loamy skeletal and fine loamy soils are common in the sub-humid region. Similar soils were also reported in previous studies of sub-humid region (11,19).

## **Chemical characteristics**

Soil reaction (pH) varied from 3.8 to 6.98 in surface horizons and from 3.4 to 7.61 in the sub-surface horizons (Table 4). The pH ranges from 5.5 to 6.5 indicates that pedons P3, P4, P6 and P7 are acidic in nature while pedons P1, P2 and P5 has pH less than 5.5 are grouped as strongly acidic in nature and remained pedons P8 and P9 are neutral (Table 4). The most of the soils are acidic in reaction, non-calcareous, mixed in mineralogy and have thermic soil temperature and udic soil moisture regimes (22). EC varied from 0.17 to 1.83 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in surface horizons and from 0.02 to 0.80 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in the sub-surface horizons. The EC, generally, increased

with depth (P1) but in this study EC decreased with depth, where the surface horizons had higher EC than their sub-surface horizons (Table 4). However, the soils were, generally, non-saline.

Organic carbon (OC) contents varied from 0.76 to 3.16% in surface and from 0.16 to 3.06% in the subsurface soils. Most of the soils fall in high level of organic carbon due to dense vegetation cover and slow decomposition followed by medium in organic carbon which includes mostly very severely eroded soil and intensively cultivated land (11).

The exchangeable cations  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Na^+$  and  $K^+$  varied from 4.90 to 10.40, 1.30 to 4.00, 0.39 to 1.01 and 0.44 to 1.27 cmol  $p^+kg^{-1}$ , respectively, in surface horizons. In the subsurface horizons, the bases varied from 1.00 to 8.50, 0.30 to 3.90, 0.20 to 0.69 and 0.15 to 0.65 cmol  $p^+kg^{-1}$  in the same order. Base saturation (BS) varied from 80 to 92% in surface and from 68 to 91% in the sub-surface.

The cation exchange capacity (CEC) varied from 8.6 to 19.9 cmol p<sup>+</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> in surface and from 2.7 to 17.2 cmol p<sup>+</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup> in the sub-surface. Nutrient holding capacity of pedons P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6 and P8 soils was very low to medium as revealed from cation exchange capacity (CEC) which ranged from 2.70 to 19.90 cmolp<sup>+</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup>. This is due to coarse texture having very less clay content. The higher CEC value of surface soils in comparison to clay content is due to higher organic matter content in top soils. The pedons P7 and P8 exhibited medium nutrient holding capacity as reflected in their CEC values which ranged from 9.80 to 14.40 cmol p<sup>+</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup>, as these soils are loam to clay loam in texture and have comparatively high clay content.

Table 3. Physical characteristics of the studied pedons

Pedon	Depth (cm)	Horizon	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture
P1	0-17	Ap	59.95	26.55	13.00	Sandy loam
PI	17-25	AC	57.70	29.30	13.50	Sandy loam
P2	0-16	Ap	53.70	30.80	15.50	Sandy loam
P2	16-36	AC	52.65	32.85	14.50	Sandy loam
	0-12	Ap	53.05	31.95	15.00	Sandy loam
P3	12-34	C1	53.15	29.35	17.50	Sandy loam
	34-61	C2	53.55	23.95	22.50	Sandy loam
P4	0-11	Ap	56.95	25.55	55 17.50 \$	Sandy loam
P4	11-29	AC	58.10	25.65	16.25	Sandy loam
	0-15	Ap	55.85	27.65	16.50	Sandy loam
D.E	15-29	AC	54.50	30.50	15.00	Sandy loam
P5	29-37	C1	53.10	28.40	18.50	Sandy loam
	37-55	C2	53.05	24.45	22.50	Sandy loam
	0-18	Ap	54.05	28.45	17.50	Sandy loam
De	18-44	AC	55.05	27.70	17.25	Sandy loam
P6	44-59	C1	55.40	27.10	17.50	Sandy loam
	59-75	C2	54.50	28.00	17.50	Sandy loam
	0-17	Ap	53.50	29.00	17.50	Sandy loam
	17-46	Bw1	41.75	38.00	20.25	Loam
P7	46-74	Bw2	44.45	37.30	18.25	Loam
	74-98	BC	44.25	36.00	19.75	Loam
	98-127	C	43.25	36.50	20.25	Loam
	0-15	Ap	85.00	9.50	5.50	Loamy sand
	15-31	1C1	91.50	6.00	2.50	Sand
P8	31-50	1C2	90.00	5.50	4.50	Sand
	50-71	2C3	91.00	6.50	2.50	Sand
	71-88	2C4	91.00	6.75	2.25	Sand
	0-19	Ap	49.80	37.70	12.50	Loam
P9	19-34	Bw1	50.00	30.00	20.00	Loam
	34-48	Bw2	39.00	32.50	28.50	Clay loam

	48-77	Bw3	39.40	31.85	28.75	Clay loam
	77-91	Bw4	37.85	33.15	29.00	Clay loam
	91-111	С	37.75	33.50	28.75	Clay loam

**Table 4. Chemical characteristics of the studied pedons** 

	D . 41			pH   EC   (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	00	Exch.	Exch.	Exch.	Exch.	CEC	D.C.
Pedon	Depth (cm)	Horizon	рH		OC	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CEC	BS
			•		(%)			(%)			
P1	0-17	Ap	3.8	0.50	2.83	5.20	1.30	0.51	0.80	8.60	91
	17-25	AC	3.4	0.78	1.22	3.80	1.80	0.35	0.34	8.00	79
P2	0-16	Ap	5.18	0.66	2.18	7.90	2.80	0.61	0.96	14.80	83
	16-36	AC	4.74	0.21	1.34	5.10	2.60	0.46	0.45	11.50	75
P3	0-12	Ap	5.91	0.17	3.06	10.40	4.00	0.76	1.27	19.90	83
	12-34	C1	6.31	0.05	2.98	8.50	3.90	0.44	0.40	17.20	77
	34-61	C2	6.25	0.07	0.88	7.50	2.50	0.69	0.52	12.60	89
P4	0-11	Ap	5.72	0.63	0.57	6.50	2.80	0.52	0.76	11.60	91
	11-29	AC	6.02	0.15	0.42	5.40	2.50	0.43	0.47	10.40	85
P5	0-15	Ap	3.9	1.83	0.99	6.20	2.10	0.42	0.44	10.00	92
	15-29	AC	4.6	0.22	0.53	5.00	1.50	0.36	0.32	9.00	80
	29-37	C1	5.2	0.8	0.50	7.00	2.60	0.40	0.39	12.10	86
	37-55	C2	5.1	0.6	0.42	4.90	1.80	0.40	0.39	9.30	81
P6	0-18	Ap	5.95	0.43	0.76	7.60	3.60	0.39	0.45	14.00	86
	18-44	AC	5.81	0.06	0.57	2.90	0.90	0.48	0.65	6.00	82
	44-59	C1	6.05	0.04	0.38	3.50	1.10	0.40	0.34	6.90	77
	59-75	C2	6.03	0.05	0.19	3.80	2.00	0.36	0.34	7.40	88
P7	0-17	Ap	5.70	0.17	1.63	7.70	2.70	0.47	0.52	14.30	80
	17-46	Bw1	5.92	0.07	1.31	7.60	2.60	0.42	0.42	13.10	84
	46-74	Bw2	5.90	0.06	1.23	7.80	2.70	0.42	0.64	13.30	87
	74-98	BC	6.13	0.08	0.56	6.90	2.70	0.46	0.45	12.50	84
	98-127	C	6.17	0.02	0.44	6.30	2.30	0.50	0.43	11.50	83
P8	0-15	Ap	5.11	0.39	1.59	4.90	2.00	0.59	0.62	9.80	83
	15-31	1C1	6.33	0.04	0.56	1.80	0.50	0.49	0.26	4.10	74
	31-50	1C2	6.62	0.03	0.28	1.10	0.50	0.48	0.15	3.10	72
	50-71	2C3	6.63	0.02	0.16	1.30	0.30	0.20	0.17	2.90	68
	71-88	2C4	6.61	0.03	0.16	1.00	0.40	0.45	0.30	2.70	80
P9	0-19	Ap	6.98	0.55	3.16	7.20	3.40	1.01	0.76	13.50	92

19-34 34-48 48-77	Bw1 Bw2 Bw3	7.61 7.53 7.46	0.13 0.11 0.08	3.06 0.80 0.72	5.20 6.40 7.20	2.70 2.80 3.00	0.57 0.42 0.65	0.35 0.47 0.59	10.10 11.10 12.90	87 91 89
77-91	Bw4	7.40	0.08	0.72	7.20	2.30	0.63	0.59	11.90	88
91-111	C	7.32	0.08	0.60	8.20	2.90	0.60	0.58	14.40	85

#### 3.3 Soil classification

The study area belongs to udic soil moisture regime and the soils were classified into two orders viz. Entisols and Inceptisols. The pedons P1, P2 and P4 were classified as loamy-skeletal, mixed, thermic lithic udorthents. The pedon P3 classified as loamy, mixed, thermic typic udorthents. The pedon P5 classified as fine-loamy, mixed, thermic typic udorthents. The pedons P6 and P7 were classified as fine-loamy, mixed, thermic dystric eutrudepts. The pedon P8 was classified as sandy-skeletal, mixed, thermic typic udifluvents and the pedon P9 was classified as fine-loamy, mixed, thermic mollic udifluvents.

# 4. CONCLUSION

Ninepedons from the sub-humidregion of Chamba block of Tehri Garhwal district, Uttarakhand were characterized for their morphological, physical, and chemical properties. The variation in depth of the soils indicates that the soil formation was influenced by variation in elevation of the different landforms, slope, and earlier geomorphic processes of sub-humid regions. The loamy-skeletal texture of the soils indicates that erosion and fluvial activity were the common processes in the study area. For sustainable crop production in these soils, suitable management measures need to be implemented to prevent the effects of soil degradation.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Blum WEH. Basic concepts: degradation, resilience, and rehabilitation. In Methods for Assessment of Soil Degradation (R. Lal, W.R.H. Blum, C. Valentine and B.A. Stewart, Eds.), CRC Press, New York. 1997; 1-16.
- 2. Aulakh MS, Sidhu GS. Soil degradation in India: Causes, major threats, and management options. In: MARCO Symposium 2015 Next Challenges of Agro Environmental research in Monsoon Asia. National Institute for Agro Environmental Sciences (NIAES), Tsukuba, Japan. 2015; 151-156.
- 3. Meena RK, Vikas, Verma TP, Yadav RP, Mahapatra SK, Surya JN, et al.Local perceptions and adaptation of indigenous communities to climate change: Evidences from

- High Mountain Pangi valley of Indian Himalayas. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge.2019; 18(1): 58-67.
- 4. Nagdev R, Mahapatra SK, Meena RK, Surya JN, Yadav RP and Singh SK. Study of agriclimatic characteristics in north western himalayas for enhancing productivity a case study of NagrotaBagwan block of Kangra district in Himachal Pradesh, India. Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology & Environmental Sciences. 2017a; 19(4): 997-1004.
- 5. UAPCC. Uttarakhand action plan on climate change, Govt. of Uttarakhand, India. 2014.
- 6. Prasad Jagdish, Ray S K, Gajbhiye K S and Singh S R 2009. Soils of Selsura research farm in Wardha district, Maharashtra and their suitability for crops. Agropedology.2014; 19: 84-91.
- 7. Sashikala G, Naidu MVS, Ramana KV, Nagamadhuri KV, Reddy PKA, Sudhakar P et al. Characterization and classification of soils in semi-arid region of Tatrakallu village of Anantapuramu district in Andhra Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2019; 67: 389-401.
- 8. Mahapatra SK, Nagdev R, Gopal R, Surya JN, Meena RK, Yadav RP, Singh SK. Characterization and Classification of the Soils of Buraka Micro-Watershed in Haryana for Integrated Development. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.2019; 67(2):137-50.
- 9. Velayutham M, Mandal DK, Mandal Champa, Sehgal J. Agro-ecological sub-regions of India for planning and development. NBSS Publ. 35, NBSS&LUP, Nagpur, India, 1999; 1-372.
- 10. Central Ground Water Board (CGWB). Ground Water Brochure, District Tehri Garhwal, Uttarakhand. Ministry of Water Resources. Govt. of India. 2011.
- 11. Walia CS, Surya JN, Dhankar RP, Sharma JP, Sarkar D. Generation of soil database for Khulgad watershed development in Almora district of Uttarakhand. NBSS Publication 1043, NBSS&LUP, Nagpur, India.2013; 1-130.
- 12. Gorai T, Ahmed N, Mahapatra SK, Datta SC, Singh RD, and Sharma RK. Effect of topography and vegetation on soil development in Kumaon hills of North Western Himalayas. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 2013; 12: 269-276.
- 13. AIS&LUS. Soil Survey Manual. All India Soil and Land Use Survey Organisation, IARI, New Delhi.1970; 1-63.
- 14. Black CA (Ed.). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part I. American Society Madison, Wisconsis, USA. 1965.
- 15. Jackson M L. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India (Pvt.) Ltd., New Delhi. 1973.
- 16. Sharma VAK, Krishnan P, Budhial SL. Laboratory Methods: Soil Resource, Mapping of Different States in India. Bulletin 14, NBSS&LUP, Nagpur. 1987.
- 17. Soil Survey Division Staff. Soil Survey Manual (Indian Print), USDA Handbook 18, US Govt. Printing Office, Washington. 2015.

- 18. Soil Survey Staff. Keys to Soil Taxonomy twelfth ed., United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington DC. 2014.
- 19. Nagdev R, Mahapatra SK, Yadav RP, Singh SK. Assessment of Soil Resource Potential of Warm Humid Kumaon Himalayas for Sustainable Productivity. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.2017b; 65(2): 138-147.
- 20. Geetha Sireesha PV, Naidu MVS.Studies on genesis, characterization and classification of soils in semi-arid agro-ecological region: a case study in Banaganapalle mandal of Kurnool district in Andhra Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science.2013; 61(3): 167-178.
- 21. Nayak AK, Rao GC, ChinchmalatpureAR, Singh R. Characterization and classification of some salt-affected soils of Bhal region of Gujarat. Agropedology.2000; 10(2): 152-158.
- 22. Sharma VK, Sharma PD, Sharma SP, Acharya CL, Sood RK. Characterization of cultivated soils of Neogal watershed in North-West Himalayas and their suitability for major crops. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2004; 52(1): 63-68.