

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_124051
Title of the Manuscript:	Penile Strangulation – A Novel surgical procedure without cutting equipment
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like this manuscript for the management of the case, but I dislike the manuscript because some sentences are repeated for many times. The discussion is not good. The conclusion is not good. Whole manuscript dose not write well but the case is good for report.	Yes Corrected all the article
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, maybe it should be: Aspiration method for treatment of penile strangulation.	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Major revision needs. It is unstructured.	Yes corrected
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, I think pathophysiology should be in the discussion method.	Yes and corrected
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think the concept is good but the manuscript is not written well. The discussion is not well written. The introduction is not good. Method was repeated multiple time	Yes corrected and well written manuscript Introduction is corrected and avoid the repetition
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes it was good.	Thank You
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There were some error in typing the words and sentences needs revised and edited.	Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	