

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_124647
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact Of Seasonal Changes On Avifauna Diversity In Nguru Wetland, Yobe State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	All good.	Ok
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's correct. PFA comments.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Need addition.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	minor revisions before acceptance:	Effectuated
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>1. Clarity in Data Presentation: The manuscript provides valuable insights into avifaunal diversity in the Nguru Wetland. However, some sections of the data, particularly in Tables 1 and 2, could benefit from clearer formatting to improve readability. Adding footnotes to explain the abbreviations used in the tables would also enhance comprehension.</p> <p>2. Methodology Explanation: While the research methods are generally well-explained, more details on how the sampling points were selected would improve the reader's understanding of the study design. Consider elaborating on the criteria used to choose the five points and the potential impact on the results.</p> <p>3. Discussion Section: The discussion is well-rounded, but expanding on the implications of seasonal changes on bird species' migration and diversity, particularly in relation to conservation efforts, would strengthen the conclusions. Adding a few more recent references related to this topic could further support the argument.</p> <p>Once these minor revisions are addressed, the manuscript can be accepted for publication.</p>	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	