

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_124647
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF SEASONAL CHANGES ON AVIFAUNA DIVERSITY IN NGURU WETLAND, YOBE STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Avian diversity is a crucial component of overall biodiversity. Studying avian diversity helps scientists understand the health of ecosystems and can contribute to conservation efforts. Information on the distribution, abundance, and behavior of different bird species can be used to inform conservation strategies.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good	Thanks
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	need to used up to date references to make this research relevant and updated .	ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Make a proper presentation of the results and discuss its implication properly. The presentation of the results is very disorganized and the discussions is very lacking.	Noted
Optional/General comments	To need to improve the writing and use for scientific terms. To discuss the previous reprints in discussion part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	