

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRAF_125854 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | IDENTIFICATION OF ORNAMENTAL SHRUBS THAT ABSORB AIR POLLUTANTS AT GREEN OPEN AREA'S, CITY OF SAMARINDA, EAST KALIMANTAN, INDONESIA |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The objectives of the study are not clearly stated and the methods used to achieve them is not correct for such study. I suggest major review with clear outline how the research was carried out. I fail to see how the authors were able to see plants absorb air pollutants at the park with their naked eyes. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title is not suitable. Let the authors revise it | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Revise the abstract | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | The structure of some parts of the manuscript are not appropriate | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript could be scientifically robust and technically sound if it is properly researched and reported properly | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | References are sufficient | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | There is need to improve the English language in some parts of the manuscript | |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript requires major revision | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Name: | Richard Oruko |
| Department, University & Country | Kenya |