

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geological Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_125793
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Land Use Changes in Teesta Catchment by Soil Water Assessment Tool
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The relational assessment of Land Use, with respect to the potential of Soil and Water is must need one to assess, in terms of spatial concentration and development. Therefore I strongly recommended the scope of work is important in todays' context.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The structure and the flow of an abstract is appropriate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This articles have an attempt to validate the land use change using simulation techniques, with respect to soil and water as a major assessment tool. The scope and limitation is appropriate and relevant to the study area.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	In general, please look after the spelling mistakes and grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments	Nil, Overall the attempt was good and needed study in recent times.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	K. Madhivadhani
Department, University & Country	School of Architecture and Planning, Anna University, India