

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_125793
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Land Use Changes in Teesta Catchment by Soil Water Assessment Tool
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript's importance would be good is the analysis were more through and robust.	Thanks for your valuable comments. We have more analysed.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is not appropriately presented. It can be titled “Assessment of Land Use and Land Cover (LULC) Changes on the Hydrological Parameters in Teesta catchment, Bangladesh.”	Thanks for your suggestion. We have changed the title according your valuable suggestion.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Based on the results, the abstract should have some number such as how much is increasing and decreasing.	Appreciating comments. We have reflected your comments in revised version.
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, there should be a major change.	Thanks for your valuable comments. We have modified the subsections and structures
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NA	Thanks for your valuable comment
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are not sufficient.	We have added more references and marks in yellow colour
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		We have tried to improve the quality of language
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	