Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Medicine and Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJMAH_125880**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of Standard Wireloop Method in Determination of Significant Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women in Ekpoma**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**1. Standard wireloop method is a time tested method for UTI diagnosis 2. Widely accepted and universally used 3. Cost effective and less time consuming 4. Stastically proved to be in accordance with clinicaly proved UTI. ** THE STUDY REENFORCES THE IMPORTANCE OF WIRELOOP TECHNIQUE SEE ATTACHMENT**	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	**YES**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**IT IS OK**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	**NO**	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**1. NUMBER OF STUDY SUBJECTS COULD HAVE BEEN MORE 2. APART FROM FEMALE SUBJECTS, PARTICIPANTS OF BOTH SEXES AND ALL AGE GROUP WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER 3. PARALLEL RUNNING OF AUTOMATED METHOD OF DIAGNOSIS COULD HAVE BEEN DONE**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	**OK**	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**FEW SPELLING MISTAKES**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Saurabh Mitra**
Department, University & Country	**Murshidabad Medical College, India**