

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125880
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Standard Wireloop Method in Determination of Significant Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women in Ekpoma
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is valuable to the scientific community, in the sense that it provides information regarding diagnosis of bacteriuria in hospitals SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is no introduction or background information in the abstract. This should be added. Also, the first sentence is not well written, look through the comment made on the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is robust to disseminate the information on the diagnosis of bacteriuria. It also gave information on the prevalence rate of bacteriuria among pregnant women.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are up to date	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written except for the little corrections made. Kinly effect all correction from the commenst made on the manuscripts.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Faustina Uloma Onyeaghasiri
Department, University & Country	University of Benin, Augustin University, Nigeria