

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125880
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Standard Wireloop Method in Determination of Significant Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women in Ekpoma
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is valuable to the scientific community, in the sense that it provides information regarding diagnosis of bacteriuria in hospitals SEE ATTACHMENT	Corrected
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There is no introduction or background information in the abstract. This should be added. Also, the first sentence is not well written, look through the comment made on the manuscript	Corrected
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Thank you
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is robust to disseminate the information on the diagnosis of bacteriuria. It also gave information on the prevalence rate of bacteriuria among pregnant women.	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are up to date	Thank you
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Thank you
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well written except for the little corrections made. Kinly effect all correction from the commenst made on the manuscripts.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	