Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Immunology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJI_126461**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Endotyping Cellular and Humoral Immunoreactivity against Tartrazine in Allergic Patients.** **A Retrospective Study.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This investigation has been implemented in order to validate the effectiveness of some lab-exams other than in-vivo provocation-tests, namely TTP and LAIT tests in detecting/inspecting of cellular and humoral immunoreactivities as to TARTRAZINE, and to distinguish/discern between them, in patients affected with Non–IgE-mediated hypersensitivities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**Ok.**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**Ok.**	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	With minor modifications for simplification especially in "Introduction" section also, by dipping deep into future implications, it could effectively communicate the significance of outcomes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a well-structured and scientific survey concerning Non–IgE-mediated allergic reaction as to TARTRAZINE, characterising the cohort studies and the relate methods that have been recruited, and can open new infomative/supportive windows through comprehending/interpreting the underlying pathomechanisms pertinent to any other hypersensitivity responses types.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok.	
Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Ok.	
Optional/General comments	Some sentences are can be simplified. Overall, this study effectively demonstrates important outcomes in terms of allergology. **SEE ATTACHMENT**	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Lotfollah Behroo Jaaniaar**
Department, University & Country	**University of Tehran, Iran**