

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_125508
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Digital Transformation on Service Models and Employee Skill Requirements in Taiwan's Real Estate Brokerage Industry
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes it is good research, because purely focused on impact of digital transformation on service model in specifically in real estate brokers industry and employees skills also required for digital transformation process. Brokers industry have a strong contribution in the real estate. There finding are helpful for the brokers industry when they digitally transformed their service model.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	You have to delete last paragraph from he abstract, There is no need of mention the research gap in the abstract. And also clearly mentioned the analysis techniques of this research. You have used mixed method that why you must be added that analysis technique in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Some slightly changes has required for the structure of the manuscript. Section first of the article strated from introduction then must be section of significant and purpose and innovation aspect discussed in introduction portion. Then go toward the literature then methodology, then analysis and conclusion and discussion of results or findings.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author must clearly mentioned the research questions in the start and in the last must include the result and finding according to their research questions. In this research mix method is used then appropriate hypothesis also mentioned for the clearly of analysis results and finding and then integration of quantitative and qualitative methods results in appropriate way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	In the manuscript reference are not mentioned within the text. In the case of articles writing it is necessary for the write up based on references. Author inclusion in finding and results of the article where also your results also compared with other works with other references. In case of numbers of references must 30 or more, in this research paper author add only 26 references, they must be add some other references.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of English is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	In case of abstract writing number of paragraph included. Bu in case of Abstract APA style abstract must be 150-200 words, concise and appropriate regarding the research topic define what will be examined in what context, what method are used for analysis, what tool have used for analysis then finding of the research.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farah Naz
Department, University & Country	Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan