

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_126491
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the preparedness of agricultural graduates towards career options in India
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Assessing the Preparedness of Agricultural Graduates Towards Career Options in India" is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the study. However, to enhance its engagement, consider an alternative like "Bridging the Gap: Enhancing Career Readiness for Agricultural Graduates in India," which reflects a proactive approach.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study's objectives and findings. However, it would benefit from specifying the research methodology used for data analysis, which would enhance clarity regarding how the results were obtained and interpreted.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, with clear subsections that logically present the research context, methodology, findings, and conclusions. This organization facilitates easy navigation and understanding of the content, making the information accessible to readers.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its systematic approach to identifying skill gaps among agricultural graduates. The inclusion of diverse perspectives from students, alumni, and employers adds depth to the findings, ensuring that the analysis captures a comprehensive view of employability skills. Furthermore, the use of a sizable sample (660 students, 50 alumni, and 24 employers) lends credibility to the results, making the conclusions drawn from the data significant and actionable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are mostly recent and relevant to the topic. However, incorporating additional studies that focus on agricultural education and employability trends in other regions could provide a broader context and support the findings more effectively.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilbert C. Bagsic
Department, University & Country	Philippine Women's University, Philippine