

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123776
Title of the Manuscript:	Induced Resistance in fruit and vegetable enhancing host defence to curve post-harvest diseases
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present review is focused on the Induced Resistance in fruit and vegetable enhancing host defence to curve post-harvest diseases. This study has discussed the history, present status and future of the post-harvest losses in fruit and vegetables.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Enhancing Host Defense in Fruits and Vegetables: Induced Resistance to Curb Post-Harvest Diseases	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need to molecular approaches used and the future perspectives.	OK
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to add some subsections to elongate the discussion.	Noted
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It looks like the overall review has covered many points but needs to extend the discussion. Although, there are no tables and figures in the script. The conclusion part of the study should be extended with some catchy statements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are insufficient in number. Authors are requested to add more recent references, which will support the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language or grammar of the article is average, which is unsuitable for scholarly communications. There are many spelling mistakes found in the script. The manuscript needs to be improved with sound grammar. Authors are requested to improve the tone of the script.	Revision made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors are requested to add tables and figures in the script.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	