

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_115947
Title of the Manuscript:	Internally Displaced Persons and Socio-territorial Integration in the Commune of Kaya in Burkina Faso : what sustainable solutions to the crisis ?
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is important as well as relevant for scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Abstract of the article is comprehensive and in limited words it properly reflects the crises along with possible solutions of the Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs) in Kaya commune of Burkina Faso.</p> <p>Yes, those are nearly appropriate.</p> <p>I think this manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are accurate and sufficient. These are properly arranged.</p> <p>My further observations are: a) the author may put some additional data of past terrorist atrocities and inter-communal conflicts which caused the sufferings of the IDPs of the Kaya, Burkina Faso. Again, role of UNO should be mentioned address this crisis.</p>	<p>We agree with the comments that have been made. We will integrate them in order to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes. It can be published.</p>	
Optional/General comments	N.A	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	