

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_115947
Title of the Manuscript:	Internally Displaced Persons and Socio-territorial Integration in the Commune of Kaya in Burkina Faso : what sustainable solutions to the crisis ?
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The significance of this study for scholarly communities is not clearly spelled out. This should be highlighted in the Introduction section. In addition, the introduction should more clearly underscore the problem statement.</li> <li>There are only three citations in the introduction. More scholarly works need to be cited along with additional supportive arguments.</li> <li>Data analysis part in the methodology section is completely missing.</li> <li><i>“For a variety of reasons, it is not always clear that IDPs have good relations with the populations in their host area” – this type of argument requires validation and citation.</i></li> <li>3.1.2. Socio-territorial integration of IDPs in the commune of Kaya – in this section there are good findings, but those lack in-depth articulation of the findings.</li> <li>The term ‘socio-territorial integration’ needs a lot more theoretical clarity at the beginning. Then, the how are the findings from the interview align with those existing theories or challenge those theories need to be well established. Currently, the findings just present some percentages of this and that.</li> <li>The interpretation of findings needs more clarity and stronger arguments. For instance, when the researchers write <i>“Because of their status as displaced persons, most of them do not have access to informal employment , let alone formal employment”</i> this needs to be adequately explained.</li> <li><i>Few citations are very old.</i></li> </ul>	<p>We agree with your comments. We will integrate them in order to improve the manuscript we have proposed for publication.</p> <p><b>Few of the quotes are old because forced internal displacement is a very recent phenomenon in our country, Burkina Faso. As a result, very few old studies exist on the issue.</b></p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Average	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	