

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_115947</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Internally Displaced Persons and Socio-territorial Integration in the Commune of Kaya in Burkina Faso : what sustainable solutions to the crisis ?</b>
Type of the Article	<b>General Research</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<b>(As per Question Nos. in previous column)</b>  <b>1) The manuscript contents have very less scientific implications on the methodology adopted to study the pattern of socio-territorial integration of the selected study area i.e. Kaya Commune in Sanmatenga Province. The author(s) can incorporate some relevant outputs of the GIS-based spatial analyst tools as « analyzing patterns, mapping clusters, measuring geographic distributions », etc to infer more scientific assessment of the socio-territorial integration of IDPs.</b>  <b>2) The projected title may be re-titled as :</b> « Internally Displaced Persons and Socio-territorial Integration in the Commune of Kaya in Burkina Faso : A sustainable solution study »  <b>3) The abstract of the manuscript contents needs elaboration on the methodology followed and coherent indication of the findings of the study reported.</b>  <b>4) No comments.</b>  <b>5) The scientific assessment of spatial displacement of IDPs using GIS tools ( as relevant to the spatial statistics study) has not been adequately reported in the study. Thereby scientific relevance is questionable.</b>  <b>6) The authors may also take into account the reference below:</b> “The Manipur Conflict: Rights of the Internally Displaced Get Compromised” <a href="https://www.berkeleyjournalofinternationallaw.com/post/the-manipur-conflict-rights-of-the-internally-displaced-get-compromised">https://www.berkeleyjournalofinternationallaw.com/post/the-manipur-conflict-rights-of-the-internally-displaced-get-compromised</a>	1. In this article, we highlight the living conditions and socio-territorial integration of displaced people, as well as durable solutions that can be considered to address the crisis of forced internal displacement in the municipality of Kaya. We do not focus on the displacement of IDPs per se. 2. Okay, we'll take your comments into account when it comes to the title of the article. 3. Okay, we get it. We will take your comments into consideration. 4. No Comments 5. The article focuses on the living conditions and socio-territorial integration of IDPs as well as the durable solutions that can be envisaged to address the crisis of forced internal displacement in the commune of Kaya. 6. <b>Okay, we get it. Thank you</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and quality of English literature used needs some reframing as reviewed in certain sections of the manuscript. Moreover the overall concept of a research manuscript/article should be reflected and not as a news/magazine article.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	