

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                             |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change     |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_117033                                             |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Nutrient strategies for pest resilience in plants: A Review |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article                                              |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                  | Reviewer's comment                     | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments                                                                                              |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)                      | Yes                                    |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)                                         | Yes                                    |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?                                                                                 | Yes                                    |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?                                                                  | Yes                                    |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?                                                                        | Yes                                    |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Yes                                    |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)                             |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments                                                                                                   |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?                                             | May be accept with some miner revision |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments                                                                                                 |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                               |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

|                                                     |                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                     | <b>Reviewer’s comment</b>                                                    | <b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |                                                                                                                                                                                             |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                            |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Anil Kumar                                                 |
| Department, University & Country | Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India |