

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_117033
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient strategies for pest resilience in plants: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	Yes
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Yes
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	Yes
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	Yes
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	Yes
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	Yes
<u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	May be accept with some miner revision	Yes, the corrections are carried out as suggested by reviewer
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No