

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_117306
Title of the Manuscript:	ORGANIZATIONAL JUSTICE AND ITS EFFECTS ON EMPLOYEE RETENTION IN BANANA COMPANIES: A QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes this is important manuscript for scientific community because it is showing the retention rate and how it gives impact to the organization.</b>  <b>Yes, absolutely perfect.</b>  <b>Yes perfect it is but some more points should be added.</b>  <b>Yes</b>  Yes  Yes but need to be add some more recent references.	Dear Reviewer,  Thank you for emphasizing the significance of our manuscript for the scientific community, particularly in shedding light on retention rates and their impact on organizations. We agree that this is a crucial aspect deserving attention and exploration within the scholarly literature.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Some grammatical mistakes are there so need to be rectify that mistakes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall manuscript is good and it is acceptable but require some revisions as I already suggested.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	