

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_117339
Title of the Manuscript:	Haemoprotective effects of ginger (Zingiber officinale) on wistar rats fed with iron treated water
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes , Sure, in my opinion, this manuscript is important for the scientific community Please add the type of ginger extract to the title, for example, water extract, ethanol extract, methanol extract, or dry powder of ginger. Please add to the abstract conclusion that ginger administration is not significant if p Value > 0.05 Yes, in my opinion, it's already appropriate Yes, in my opinion, it's already suitable and scientific Yes, in my opinion, there are some references that still have incorrect years. Please fix them -	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor, Please check again for proofreading by a language expert	
Optional/General comments	In my opinion, this manuscript needs minor revisions to improve further	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Linda Puspita
Department, University & Country	Aisyah pringsewu lampung, Indonesia